arXiv:1002.2698v2 [math.AG] 10 Jun 2010

Refinement of the Parshin symbol for surfaces

I. Horozov *

Mathematisches Institut,
Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 10,
72076 Tübingen, Germany

Abstract

On an algebraic curve there are Tate symbols, which satisfy Weil reciprocity law. The analogues in higher dimensions are the Parshin symbols, which satisfy Kato-Parshin reciprocity laws. We give a refinement of the Parshin symbol for surfaces, using iterated integrals in the sense of Chen. The product of the refined symbol over the cyclic permutations of the functions recovers the Parshin symbol. Also, we construct a logarithmic version of the Parshin symbol. We prove reciprocity laws for both the refined symbol and a logarithm of the Parshin symbol.

Contents

0 Introduction **2**

1 Background on iterated integrals **10**
1.1 Definition of iterated integrals over a path 10
1.2 Differential equation and generating series of iterated integrals. One-dimensional case 10
1.3 Multiplication formulas 11
1.4 Two-dimensional iterated integral over a surface 11
1.5 Slicing a membrane 12
1.6 Composition of a path and a 2-dimensional region 14
1.7 Three-dimensional iterated integrals 14

2 Abstract reciprocity law **16**
2.1 Definitions 16
2.2 Construction of an abstract reciprocity law 21

3 Construction of a new symbol and a reciprocity law **27**
3.1 Local properties. Integrating over the torus T'_{jl} 27
3.2 Semi-local behavior. Integrating over the torus T_{jl}. 29
3.3 Differential equation 34
3.4 The commutators $[\alpha_i, \beta_i]$ 35

---

*E-mail: ivan.horozov@uni-tuebingen.de

3.5 Extra terms 37
3.6 New symbol 40
3.7 Reciprocity law for the logarithm of the new symbol 40

4 Logarithmic version of the Parshin symbol **41**
4.1 Integration over a torus revisited 41
4.2 Logarithmic symbol 41
4.3 Vanishing of the commutator terms 42
4.4 Vanishing of the extra terms 44
4.5 Reciprocity law 45

5 Refinement of the Parshin symbol **46**
5.1 Logarithmic version of a refinement of the Parshin symbol 46
5.2 Logarithmic reciprocity law 46
5.3 Refinement of the Parshin symbol and a reciprocity law 47
5.4 Example 47

0 Introduction

This paper is the second one from a series of papers on reciprocity laws on complex varieties. Instead of using a homology or a cohomology theory, we use properties of certain fundamental groups. We capture structures of these fundamental groups by examining iterated integrals. In this way, we have proven various reciprocity laws on a Riemann surface in [H]. We use some of these reciprocity laws here. But more importantly, we develop further the use of iterated integrals in establishing new reciprocity laws.

Parshin has considered iterated integrals [P3] at the same time as Chen [Ch]. However, the ones by Chen are more general and we use some of his constructions.

Let us recall the Weil reciprocity for the Tate symbol. Let f_1 and f_2 be two non-zero rational functions on a Riemann surface C. At a point P on C, let x be a rational function on C, which has a zero of order 1 at P. Let n_k be the (vanishing) order of f_k at P. Define g_k as

$$g_k = x^{-n_k} f_k,$$

for $k = 1, 2$. Note that g_k is a rational function, depending on the choices of P and x, which has no zero and no pole at the point P. Then the Tate symbol is defined as

$$\{f_1, f_2\}_P = (-1)^{n_1 n_2} \left(\frac{f_1^{n_2}}{f_2^{n_1}}\right)(P) = (-1)^{n_1 n_2} \frac{g_1(P)^{n_2}}{g_2(P)^{n_1}}.$$

The Weil reciprocity for the Tate symbol is

$$\prod_{P \in C} \{f_1, f_2\}_P = 1.$$

We are going to use a local coordinate, which is a rational function x, as opposed to a uniformizer in the corresponding local field.

Let us explain what is the relation between a local coordinate and a uniformizer. By a local coordinate at a point P on a curve C we mean a rational function x on C, which has a zero of order 1 at P. The rational function x might have other zeros or poles; but this will not play an essential role. Let $\mathcal{O}_C$ be the structure sheaf on C. Let U be a Zariski open set in C, where x is defined. Denote also by $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ the local ring of stalks at P. Define m_P to be the maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$. And let

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,P} = \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathcal{O}_{C,P}/m_p^N$$

be the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$. Then

$$x \in \mathcal{O}(U) \subset \mathcal{O}_{C,P} \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,P}.$$

Thus, we can think of the rational function x as a local uniformizer in the complete local ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,P}$.

There is analogous statement for surfaces. We recall the Parshin symbol for a surface. Then we give a definition in terms of rational functions as opposed to uniformizers, which is not as general as the algebraic definition but it allows us to use integrals. We need the new definition in order to construct a refinement of the Parshin symbol together with several logarithmic symbols.

Let f_1, f_2, f_3 be three non-zero rational functions on a smooth complex surface X. Let $\mathcal{O}_X$ be the structure sheaf of the surface X. Let C be a non-singular curve in X and P be a point on C. Let $\mathcal{I}_C$ be the sheaf of ideals, defining the curve C. Let $\widehat{(\mathcal{O}_X)}_C$ be the completion of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ with respect to the sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}_C$. Let x be an element of $\widehat{(\mathcal{O}_X)}_C\mathcal{I}_C - \widehat{(\mathcal{O}_X)}_C\mathcal{I}_C^2$, defining the curve C in a Zariski neighborhood of P. Let $m_k = ord_C(f_k)$. Let $\bar{h}_k$ be in $\widehat{(\mathcal{O}_X)}_C/\mathcal{I}_C = \mathcal{O}_C$ such that

$$\bar{h}_k \equiv x^{-m_k} f_k \bmod \mathcal{I}_C.$$

Let y be a uniformizer at P in the completion $\widehat{(\mathcal{O}_C)}_P$. Let m_P be the maximal ideal in $\widehat{(\mathcal{O}_C)}_P$, defining P, and let

$$n_k = ord_P(\bar{h}_k) = ord_P(x^{-m_k} f_k \bmod \mathcal{O}_C).$$

Define $\bar{g}_k \in \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$\bar{g}_k \equiv y^{-n_k}\bar{h}_k \bmod m_P \equiv y^{-n_k}(x^{-m_k} f_k \bmod \mathcal{O}_C) \bmod m_p.$$

Then the Parshin symbol (computed by Fesenko and Vostokov [FV]) is defined as

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C,P} = (-1)^K g_1^{D_1} g_2^{D_2} g_3^{D_3},$$

where

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} m_2 & n_2 \\ m_3 & n_3 \end{vmatrix}, \; D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} m_3 & n_3 \\ m_1 & n_1 \end{vmatrix}, \; D_3 = \begin{vmatrix} m_1 & n_1 \\ m_2 & n_2 \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$K = n_1n_2m_3 + n_2n_3m_1 + n_3n_1m_2 - m_1m_2n_3 - m_2m_3n_1 - m_3m_1n_2.$$

One of the Kato-Parshin reciprocity laws is

$$\prod_P \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C,P} = 1,$$

where the product is over all points P on C.

We need an alternative definition of the Parshin symbol, for which we can apply analytic techniques. Given three non-zero functions on X, f_1, f_2 and f_3, we want the decomposition $f_k = x^{i_k} y^{j_k} g_k$, for $k = 1, 2, 3$, so that g_k is well defined and non-zero at P and x and y are rational functions on X, not just uniformizers. This is not always possible. So we make assumptions on the divisors of f_1, f_2 and f_3. We assume that the divisors of the functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 have normal crossings (that is, at intersection point only two components meet transversally). Also, we assume that each component of these divisors is a non-singular curve. These properties can be achieved for any non-zero functions f_1, f_2 and f_3, after successive blow-ups of the surface X.

Now we consider carefully the algebraic definition of the Parshin symbol. Denote by C_i for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ the components of the divisors of f_1, f_2 and f_3. Instead of choosing $x \in \widehat{(\mathcal{O}_X)}_{C_0}\mathcal{I}_{C_0} - \widehat{(\mathcal{O}_X)}_{C_0}\mathcal{I}^2_{C_0}$, defining C in a neighborhood of P, we choose a rational function x, such that $ord_{C_0}x = 1$ and x defines C in a neighborhood of P. In particular, for such a rational function, we have $x \in \widehat{(\mathcal{O}_X)}_{C_0}\mathcal{I}_{C_0} - \widehat{(\mathcal{O}_X)}_{C_0}\mathcal{I}^2_{C_0}$. Now we use the divisors of f_1, f_2 and f_3 have smooth normal crossing. Let $P \in C_0 \cap C_j$. Since x defines C in a neighborhood of P, we have that $ord_{C_j}x = 0$. Define $m_k = ord_{C_0}f_k$. Similarly ,we define a rational function y such that $ord_{C_j}y = 1$ and $ord_{C_0}y = 0$. Let $n_k = ord_{C_j}f_k$. Let $h_k = x^{-m_k}f_k$. Then

$$\bar{h}_k \sim h_k \ mod \ \mathcal{I}_{C_0}.$$

Define

$$\bar{y} \sim y \ mod \ \mathcal{I}_{C_0}$$

on C_0. Let $\tilde{g}_k = \bar{y}^{-n_k}\bar{h}_k$ on C_0. Then similar to the one dimensional case, we have

$$\bar{g}_k \sim \tilde{g}_k \ mod \ m_P,$$

where m_P is the maximal ideal in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C_0,P}$. Moreover, $\bar{g}_k = \tilde{g}_k(Q) = g_k(Q)$.

Let

$$div(f_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} n_{ki}C_i.$$

Let x_i be a rational function on X, which has zero along C_i of order 1 (this is the order of vanishing of x_i at the generic point), and has no zeroes or poles along C_j for $j \neq i$. That is,

$$ord_{C_j}x_i = \delta_{ij}.$$

The rational functions x_i might have other zeros or poles. However, this poses only a technical consideration and it does not contribute to the symbols that we consider.

Note that in the algebraic definition, the Parshin symbol

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C,P} = 1,$$

if C is not a divisor of any of the functions f_1, f_2, f_3, or if P is not an intersection of two curves from the divisors of the functions. Thus, it is enough to consider only the case, when C is a curve from the divisors of the functions f_1, f_2 and f_3 and P is a point of intersection of two divisors.

Let $C = C_0$ and let $P \in C_0 \cap C_j$ for $j > 0$. We are going to define $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C_0,P}$, using the rational functions x_0 and x_j. Let $x = x_0$ and $y = x_j$. For their corresponding exponents, define $m_k = n_{k0} = ord_{C_0} f_k$ and $n_k = n_{kj} = ord_{C_j} f_k$. Let

$$f_k = x^{m_k} y^{n_k} g_k.$$

Note that g_k is a rational function, which is well defined and non-zero at P.

The definition in terms of rational function g_k, which we are going to use, is

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C_0,P} = (-1)^K g_1(P)^{D_1} g_2(P)^{D_2} g_3(P)^{D_3},$$

where D_1, D_2, D_3 and K are defined as above in terms of m_k and n_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$.

Now we can define a refinement of the Parshin symbol $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C_0,P}$. First, we need one coherence condition: For each point $P \in C_0 \cap C_j$ and for each curve C_j we are going to use the same rational function $x = x_0$, which has order 1 at C_0. The refinement of the Parshin symbol will be invariant with respect to choices of the rest of the rational functions x_i for $i > 1$.

Definition 0.1 *With the above notation, we define a refinement of the Parshin symbol*

$$(f_1, f_2, f_3)^{x_0}_{C_0,P} = (-1)^{n_1 n_3 m_2 - m_1 m_3 n_2} \left(\frac{g_1(P)^{n_3}}{g_3(P)^{n_1}} \right)^{m_2}.$$

The new symbol resembles a power of the Tate symbol for curves. However, there is a simple relation among the Parshin symbol and the refinement of the Parshin symbol.

Theorem 0.2 *(The Parshin symbol in terms of the refinement of the Parshin symbol)*

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C_0,P} = \prod_{cycl} (f_1, f_2, f_3)^{x_0}_{C_0,P},$$

where the product is taken over cyclic permutations of the indexes of f_1, f_2 and f_3.

Moreover, we have a reciprocity law for the refinement of the Parshin symbol.

Theorem 0.3 *A reciprocity law for the refinement of the Parshin symbol is*

$$\prod_P (f_1, f_2, f_3)^{x_0}_{C_0,P} = 1,$$

where the product is taken over all points P on C_0.

As we mentioned in the beginning of the introduction, we use iterated integrals of differential 1-forms with logarithmic poles. An example of such differential form is df_1/f_1. Its integral is $log(f_1)$. With this approach, first we obtain logarithmic symbols with additive reciprocity laws. After exponentiating, we obtain the above symbols and the corresponding multiplicative reciprocity laws.

In order to define the logarithmic symbols we need to integrate over certain paths γ_i.

Definition 0.4 *(Points, loops and paths on C_0) Let $\{P_1, \ldots, P_M\} = C_0 \cap (\bigcup_j C_j)$ be all intersection points of C_0 with the rest of the curves from the divisors of f_1, f_2, f_3. Let $Q \in C_0$ be a base point, different from $P_1, \ldots, P_M$. Let R_i be a point on C_0, which is within an ϵ-neighborhood of the intersection point P_i. Let σ_i be a simple loop on C_0 around P_i, based at Q. Let γ_i be a path from Q to R_i. Define also σ_i^0 to be a (small) simple loop around P_i, based at R_i, so that*

$$\sigma_i = \gamma_i \sigma_i^0 \gamma_i^{-1}.$$

Choose the paths γ_i so that the loop δ, defined by

$$\delta = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{g} [\alpha_i, \beta_i]\right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i\right),$$

is homotopic to the trivial loop at Q, where g is the genus of the curve C_0 and $[\alpha_i, \beta_i]$ is the commutator of loops α_i and β_i around the handles of C_0.

At the point P_i define g_k so that

$$f_k = x_0^{m_k} x_j^{n_k} g_k$$

on the surface X, using the rational functions x_0 and x_j for some j as local coordinates as opposed to uniformizers. Then g_k is well-defined and non-zero at P_i.

Definition 0.5 *Logarithm of the refinement of the Parshin symbol is defined by*

$$Log(f_1, f_2, f_3)_{C_0, P_i}^{x_0, \gamma_i} = (2\pi i)^2 \left(\pi i (m_2 n_1 n_3 - n_2 m_1 m_3) + m_2 n_3 \int_{\gamma_i} \frac{dg_1}{g_1} - m_2 n_1 \int_{\gamma_i} \frac{dg_3}{g_3},\right)$$

Let $n_{kj} = ord_{C_j} f_k$. Let also L_j be the number of intersection points of C_0 with C_j. Define h_k by $h_k = x_0^{-m_k} f_k$. Define also

$$D_1(j) = \begin{vmatrix} m_2 & n_{2j} \\ m_3 & n_{3j} \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} m_3 & n_{3j} \\ m_1 & n_{1j} \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_3 = \begin{vmatrix} m_1 & n_{1j} \\ m_2 & n_{2j} \end{vmatrix}$$

Theorem 0.6 *A reciprocity law for the logarithm of the refinement of the Parshin symbol is*

$$\sum_i Log(f_1, f_2, f_3)_{C_0, P_i}^{x_0, \gamma_i} = (2\pi i)^3 (M + N),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M = \quad & \textstyle\sum_{j_1 < j_2} (n_{1 j_1} D_1(j_2) - n_{3 j_1} D_3(j_2)) L_{j_1} L_{j_2} \\ & + \textstyle\sum_{j_2=1}^{N} (n_{1 j_2} D_1(j_2) - n_{3 j_2} D_3(j_2)) \frac{1}{2} L_{j_2} (L_{j_2} - 1) \\ N = \quad & (2\pi i)^{-2} m_1 \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{g} \left(\int_{\alpha_j} \frac{dh_3}{h_3} \int_{\beta_j} \frac{dh_2}{h_2} - \int_{\beta_j} \frac{dh_3}{h_3} \int_{\alpha_j} \frac{dh_2}{h_2} \right) + \\ & + (2\pi i)^{-2} m_3 \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{g} \left(\int_{\alpha_j} \frac{dh_1}{h_1} \int_{\beta_j} \frac{dh_2}{h_2} - \int_{\beta_j} \frac{dh_1}{h_1} \int_{\alpha_j} \frac{dh_2}{h_2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

The relation between the refinement of the Parshin symbol and the logarithm of the refinement of the Parshin symbol is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 0.7

$$(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{x_0}_{C_0,P_i} = exp((2\pi i)^{-2}Log(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{x_0,\gamma_i}_{C_0,P_i}g_1(Q)^{m_2n_3}g_3(Q)^{-m_2n_1},$$

where Q is the base point.

Definition 0.8 *We define logarithm of the Parshin symbol as*

$$Log\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}^{\gamma_i}_{C_0,P_i} = \prod_{cycl} Log(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{x_0,\gamma_i}_{C_0,P_i},$$

where the product is taken over cyclic permutations of the indexes of f_1, f_2 and f_3.

Theorem 0.9 *The relation to the Parshin symbol is*

$$\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}_{C_0,P_i} = exp((2\pi i)^{-2}Log\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}^{\gamma_i}_{C_0,P_i})g_1(Q)^{D_1}g_2(Q)^{D_2}g_3(Q)^{D_3},$$

where Q is the base point.

A reciprocity law for the logarithm of the Parshin symbol is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 0.10

$$\sum_i Log\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}^{\gamma_i}_{C_0,P_i} = 0.$$

It is interesting to compare the logarithmic symbols on a complex surface $Log\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}^{\gamma_i}_{C_0,P_i}$ and $Log(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{x_0,\gamma_i}_{C_0,P_i}$ with logarithmic symbol on a complex curve. We call the logarithmic symbol on a complex curve a logarithm of the Tate symbol.

Definition 0.11 *(Logarithm of the Tate symbol) Let f_1 and f_2 be two non-zero rational functions on C. Let $P_1,\ldots,P_M$ be the points in the divisors of f_1 and f_2. Denote by m_i the order of f_1 at P_i and by n_i the order of f_2 at P_i.*

Near P_i, let x be a rational function on C,which has order 1 at P_i. Define g_1 and g_2 by

$$f_1 = x^{m_i}g_1 \text{ and } f_2 = x^{n_i}g_2.$$

Let $Q \in C$ be a base point, different from $P_1,\ldots,P_M$. Let R_i be a point on C, which is within an ϵ-neighborhood of the intersection point P_i. Denote by σ_i a simple loop on C around P_i, based at Q. Let γ_i be a path from Q to R_i. Define also σ_i^0 to be a (small) simple loop around P_i, based at R_i, so that

$$\sigma_i = \gamma_i\sigma_i^0\gamma_i^{-1}.$$

Choose the paths γ_i so that the loop δ, defined by

$$\delta = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{g}[\alpha_i,\beta_i]\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\sigma_i\right),$$

is homotopic to the trivial loop at Q, where g is the genus of the curve C and $[\alpha_i, \beta_i]$ is the commutator of loops α_i and β_i around the handles of C. We define the logarithmic Tate symbol by

$$Log\{f_1, f_2\}_{P_i}^{\gamma_i} = (2\pi i)\left(\pi i m_i n_i + n_i \int_{\gamma_i} \frac{dg_1}{g_1} - m_i \int_{\gamma_i} \frac{dg_2}{g_2}\right).$$

Theorem 0.12 *The relation to the Parshin symbol is*

$$\{f_1, f_2\}_{P_i} = exp((2\pi i)^{-1} Log\{f_1, f_2\}_{P_i}^{\gamma_i}) g_1(Q)^{n_i} g_2(Q)^{m_i},$$

where Q is the base point.

A reciprocity law for the logarithm of the Parshin symbol is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 0.13

$$\sum_i Log\{f_1, f_2\}_{P_i}^{\gamma_i} = (2\pi i)^2 (M + N),$$

where

$$M = \sum_i m_i n_i$$

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^g \left(\int_{\alpha_i} \frac{dg_1}{g_1} \int_{\beta_i} \frac{dg_2}{g_2} - \int_{\beta_i} \frac{dg_1}{g_1} \int_{\alpha_i} \frac{dg_2}{g_2}\right).$$

Note that the reciprocity law for the logarithm of the Tate symbol (Theorem 0.13) resembles the reciprocity law for the logarithm of the refinement of the Parshin symbol (Theorem 0.6), when we look at the right hand side of the corresponding equalities. However, in the reciprocity law for the logarithm of the Parshin symbol (Theorem 0.10) the right hand side is simply zero, which is different from the reciprocity law for the logarithm of the Tate symbol.

The refinement of the Parshin symbol can be defined over any field K. However, our proof of the reciprocity law works only over a field K of characteristic zero. Let us explain how we relate the field K to the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. First, the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ can be embedded in K. The surface X over K is defined by finitely many polynomials over K. We can adjoin all the coefficient of these polynomials to $\mathbb{Q}$. Then we obtain a finitely generated algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. Denote its field of fractions by K_0. Note that X can be defined over K_0. Since the field K_0 is of finite transcendence degree over Q, we can embed K_0 in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\bar{K}_0$ be the algebraic closure of K_0. Since $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed, we have that $\bar{K}_0 \subset \mathbb{C}$. Now we can use integration over the complex numbers to define the refinement. After we have defined the refinement of the Parshin symbol, we notice that its values are in $\bar{K}_0$. The reciprocity law is a product over all points of intersection of C_0 with the remaining components of the divisors. If we consider first the product over the conjugate points with respect to the Galois group $Gal(\bar{K}_0/K_0)$, we obtain a symbol which is defined over K_0.

We have a higher dimensional analogue of the new symbol and a reciprocity law for it. It will appear in another paper. Also, my student Zhenbin Luo [L] has generalized the new symbol on a surface over a nilpotent extension of the complex numbers. Luo gives a generalization of Contou-Carrere symbol to surfaces. An alternative generalization of Contou-Carrere symbol to surfaces is given by Romo [R].

An alternative approach to the Tate symbol and the Parshin symbol come from logarithmic functionals (see [Kh]). The direction we take is closer to the one in the papers by Deligne [D] and Brylinski and McLaughlin [BrMc1]. The common point is that certain connection, in geometric sense, is the key structure. The difference in our situation is that the connection is not flat.

It will be interesting to find characteristic classes that give the refinement of the Parshin symbol. For analogues of the Parshin symbol in terms of characteristic classes (see [BrMc2]). The use of such more conceptual approach might give a proof for the reciprocity law of the new symbol for a surface over a finite field. Note that the refinement of the Parshin symbol can be defined in the same way for a surface over a finite field. There must be a version of the new symbol in the arithmetic case for curves over a number ring.

Now let us say a few words about the structure of the paper. In Section 1 we recall basic properties of iterated integrals in the sense of Chen. The section ends with analogues of the Stokes formula for iterated integrals in dimension 2 and 3 (Theorems 1.5 and 1.9).

In Section 2, we make the key geometric construction, which is a foundation for the rest of the paper. Besides the geometric construction, Subsection 2.1 contains most of the definitions needed for the rest of the paper. In Subsection 2.2, we construct an abstract reciprocity law, which we use in the later sections in order to prove the explicit reciprocity laws, which we stated in the introduction.

In Section 3, we combine the abstract reciprocity from Section 2 and the properties of iterated integrals, written in Section 1, in order to obtain a new logarithmic symbol $Log[f_1,f_2,f_3]^{x_0,\gamma}_{C_0,P}$. This is the first logarithmic symbol that we construct. The other symbols are constructed later in the paper. Also, we prove a reciprocity law for the logarithm of the new symbol. This logarithmic symbol is obtained as a limit of an iterated integral over a particular loop. A key part of this construction is a differential equation written in Subsection 3.3. This differential equation has no local solutions. The way we should solve the differential equation is by restricting it to a path. One may think of it as a connection, which is not flat. A solution of this differential equation is a generating series of iterated integrals. However, we do not need the whole generating series. We need only specific term of it, which correspond to one iterated integral. This is where the analogy with a non-flat connection breaks. For that reason we call it a differential equation.

In Section 4, we construct a logarithm of the Parshin symbol and prove a reciprocity law for it. Besides many computations of iterated integrals based on the definitions in Subsection 2.4, we use one of the differential equations from subsection 3.3. In that differential equation we put an equivalence among the formal non-commuting variables. This technical condition corresponds to considering a linear combination of iterated integrals as opposed to one iterated integral. A particular linear combination of iterated integrals gives us the logarithm of the Parshin symbol $Log\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}^{\gamma}_{C_0,P}$, which we discussed earlier in the introduction. From the logarithm of the Parshin symbol, we can recover the Parshin symbol by exponentiation.

In Section 5, we define the logarithm of the refinement of the Parshin symbol as a difference

$$Log(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{x_0,\gamma}_{C_0,P} = Log[f_1,f_2,f_3]^{x_0,\gamma}_{C_0,P} - Log\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}^{\gamma}_{C_0,P}.$$

Using the reciprocity laws for the other two symbols, we obtain a reciprocity law for the logarithm of the refinement of the Parshin symbol. After exponentiation of the logarithm of the refinement of the Parshin symbol, we obtain a refinement of the Parshin symbol, which is roughly speaking 1/3 of the Parshin symbol. We end the paper with an example of the reciprocity law for the (multiplicative) refinement of the Parshin symbol.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Alexey Parshin and Pierre Deligne for the useful commentaries on the earlier version of the paper. I would like to thank Ivan Fesenko for fruitful conversation on tame symbols. Also, I would like to thank Zhenbin Luo for lengthy discussions on my approach as well as to thank Anton Deitmar for the interest in this paper.

I would like to thank the University of Durham for the kind hospitality and also to thank the Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry Marie Curie Network for the financial support. I would like to thank both Brandeis University, where this work was developed and Universität Tübingen, where this work was finished.

1 Background on iterated integrals

In this section we recall known properties of iterated integrals, which we are going to use heavily in the rest of the paper. One can look at [Ch] and [G] for more properties of iterated integrals. We include this section, because it is essential for the rest of the paper. This section establishes both the notation and the main properties of iterated integrals, which we are going to use throughout the paper.

1.1 Definition of iterated integrals over a path

Definition 1.1 *Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ be holomorphic 1-forms on a simply connected open subset U of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Let*

$$\gamma : [0,1] \to U$$

be a path. We define an iterated integral of the forms $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ over the path γ to be

$$\int_\gamma \omega_1 \circ \ldots \circ \omega_n = \int \cdots \int_{0 \leq t_1 \leq \ldots \leq t_n \leq 1} \gamma^*\omega_1(t_1) \wedge \ldots \wedge \gamma^*\omega_n(t_n).$$

It is called iterated because it can be defined inductively by

$$\int_\gamma \omega_1 \circ \ldots \circ \omega_n = \int_0^1 (\int_{\gamma|[0,t]} \omega_1 \circ \ldots \circ \omega_{n-1}) \gamma^*\omega_n(t).$$

1.2 Differential equation and generating series of iterated integrals. One-dimensional case

When we consider an iterated integral, we can let the end point vary in a small neighborhood. Then the iterated integral becomes an analytic function.

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ be differentials of 3rd kind on a Riemann surface X. Following the idea of Manin [M], we consider the differential equation

$$dF = F \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \omega_i,$$

where $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ are non-commuting formal variables, which commute with the differentiation d. Let P be a point of X such that none of the differential forms has a pole at P. It is easy to check that the function

$$F(z) = 1 + \sum_i (A_i \int_P^z \omega_i) + \sum_{i,j} A_i A_j \int_P^z \omega_i \circ \omega_j + \sum_{i,j,k} A_i A_j A_k \int_P^z \omega_i \circ \omega_j \circ \omega_k + \ldots.$$

is a solution to the differential equation with initial condition $F(P) = 1$ at the point P. The summation continues so that every iterated integral of the given n 1-forms is present in the summation. Note that

$$d \int_P^z \omega_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ \omega_{i_{k-1}} \circ \omega_{i_k} = (\int_P^z \omega_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ \omega_{i_{k-1}}) \omega_{i_k}.$$

Note that the coefficient of the above integrals in the solution F is

$$A_{i_1} \ldots A_{i_{k-1}} A_{i_k},$$

whose indices enumerate the order of iteration of the differential forms. Each of the indices $i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1}, i_k$ is an integer among $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and repetitions of indices is allowed.

1.3 Multiplication formulas

We can take a path γ from P to z. We denote the solution of the differential equation by F_γ. If γ_1 is a path that ends at Q and γ_2 is a path that starts at Q we can compose them. Denote the composition by $\gamma_1\gamma_2$.

Theorem 1.2 *(Composition of paths) With the above notation, we have*

$$F_{\gamma_1} F_{\gamma_2} = F_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}.$$

Corollary 1.3 *(Composition of paths) On the level of iterated integrals we have*

$$\int_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} \omega_1 \circ \ldots \circ \omega_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \int_{\gamma_1} \omega_1 \circ \ldots \circ \omega_i \int_{\gamma_2} \omega_{i+1} \circ \ldots \circ \omega_n,$$

where for $i = 0$ we define $\int_{\gamma_1} \omega_1 \circ \ldots \circ \omega_i = 1$, and similarly, for $i = n$ we define $\int_{\gamma_2} \omega_{i+1} \circ \ldots \circ \omega_n = 1$.

1.4 Two-dimensional iterated integral over a surface

In this subsection we recall certain type of Chen's iterated integrals [Ch], which we call 2-dimensional iterated integrals. These integrals are defined by integration over a (real) 2-dimensional region of a variety. After we recall the definition and give notation, we state an analogue of Stokes theorem for 2-dimensional iterated integrals, which expresses 1-dimensional iterated integrals over the boundary of a two dimensional region in terms of 2-dimensional iterated integrals over the same region.

Let X be a smooth complex manifold of dimension at least 2. Let $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$ be closed holomorphic differential 1-forms on X. Let $\omega^{(2)}$ be a closed holomorphic differential 2-form on X. Let

$$\gamma_\bullet : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to X$$

be a homotopy of the path

$$\gamma_0 : [0,1] \to X$$

and

$$\gamma_1 : [0,1] \to X,$$

which fixes the end points, such that $\gamma_\bullet(t, 0) = \gamma_0(t)$ and $\gamma_\bullet(t, 1) = \gamma_1(t)$. Define also a domain

$$\Delta^n_\bullet = \{(t_1, \cdots, t_n, s) \in [0,1]^{n+1} | 0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n,\ 0 \leq s \leq 1\}.$$

Definition 1.4 *A 2-dimensional iterated integral over $\gamma_\bullet$ is defined by*

$I^i_\bullet = \int\int_{\gamma_\bullet} \omega_1 \circ \cdots \circ \omega_{i-1} \circ \omega^{(2)} \circ \omega_{i+1} \circ \cdots \circ \omega_n =$

$= \int_{\Delta^n_\bullet} \gamma^*_\bullet \omega_1(t_1, s) \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma^*_\bullet \omega_{i-1}(t_{i-1}, s) \wedge \gamma^*_\bullet \omega^{(2)}(t_i, s) \wedge \gamma^*_\bullet \omega_{i+1}(t_{i+1}, s) \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma^*_\bullet \omega_n(t_n, s).$

For fixed s let

$$I_s = \int_{\gamma_s} \omega_1 \circ \cdots \omega_n.$$

Now let us recall Stokes theorem for two dimensional iterated integrals.

Theorem 1.5 *Let*

$$\widetilde{\omega}_i^{(2)} = \omega_i \wedge \omega_{i+1}.$$

The 2-form $\widetilde{\omega}_i^{(2)}$ will be used in the definition of $I^i_\bullet$. Then

$$I_0 - I_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} I^i_\bullet.$$

1.5 Slicing a membrane

In this subsection, we examine what happens to the 2-dimensional iterated integrals, when the 2-dimensional region is cut into two pieces. We write an analogue of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 for 1-dimensional iterated integrals, when the path is cut in two pieces. Both of these formulas are given on the level of iterated integrals and on the level of generating series of iterated integrals.

Consider the square $[0,1] \times [0,1]$. We will separate it into two domains in the following way.

Let γ_0 be the path that follows the lower edge and the right edge of the square. Namely,

$$\gamma_0 : [0,2] \to [0,1]^2$$

$$\gamma_0(t) = \begin{cases} (t, 0) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ (1, t-1) & \text{for } 1 \leq t \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

Let γ_1 be the path that follows the left edge and the upper edge of the square. Namely,

$$\gamma_1 : [0,2] \to [0,1]^2$$

$$\gamma_1(t) = \begin{cases} (0,t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ (t-1,1) & \text{for } 1 \leq t \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

We want to consider a homotopy γ_s between the two paths γ_0 and γ_1. Let

$$\gamma_s(t) = \begin{cases} (0,t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq s \\ (t-s,s) & \text{for } s \leq t \leq 1+s \\ (1,t-1) & \text{for } 1+s \leq t \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

Consider the two rectangles $S_0 = [0,1/2] \times [0,2]$ and $S_1 = [1/2,1] \times [0,2]$, where s varies in the first interval and t varies in the second interval. Let $\sigma_0(t) = (t,0)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ and $\sigma_1(t) = (t,1)$ for $1/2 \leq t \leq 1$. Then γ_s for $0 \leq s \leq 1/2$ has domain $S_0 \cup \sigma_1$ and γ_s for $1/2 \leq s \leq 1$ has domain $\sigma_0 \cup S_1$. We are going to write $S_0\sigma_1$ instead of $S_0 \cup \sigma_1$, when the end of the paths in S_0 is the beginning of the path σ_1.

Let

$$\gamma_\bullet(s,t) = \gamma_s(t).$$

Then we can slice the square $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ into the following two domains:

$$\gamma_\bullet|S_0\sigma_1$$

and

$$\gamma_\bullet|\sigma_0 S_1.$$

Let also

$$S = S_0\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_0 S_1.$$

As always we denote by s the variable, which parameterizes the variation of paths. Note that in the 2-dimensional iterated integrals the variables $t_1, t_2, \cdots$ cannot be permuted. However, in direction of increasing s, we have "commutativity" in the sense that we can integrate first s in the interval $[1/2,1]$ and then add this to the integral of s in the interval $[0,1/2]$, where in both integrals we have the same domain for $t_1, t_2, \cdots$. Let

$$\tau_\bullet : S \to X$$

be a variation of paths on X and let $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n$ be closed 1-forms on X. Let

$$f_i dt = \tau_\bullet^* \omega_i$$

on S. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 1.6

$$\left(\int_S - \int_{S_0\sigma_1} - \int_{\sigma_0 S_1}\right) f_1dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i-1}dt_{i-1} \wedge (f_i f_{i+1} ds \wedge t_i) \wedge f_i dt_{i+2} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n dt_n = 0.$$

1.6 Composition of a path and a 2-dimensional region

Let $\gamma_\bullet$ be a homotopy of paths, fixing the end points. The 2-dimensional region is the one covered by $\gamma_\bullet$. Let σ be a path, whose starting point is the ending point of the paths in $\gamma_\bullet$. Let $\gamma_\bullet\sigma$ be the composition of a path from $\gamma_\bullet$ with the path σ. We want to express a 2-dimensional iterated integral over $\gamma_\bullet\sigma$ in terms of iterated integrals over σ and 2-dimensional iterated integrals over $\gamma_\bullet$.

These integrals are related by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7 *Let $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_n$ be closed 1-forms. Then*

$$\int_{\gamma_\bullet\sigma} \omega_1 \circ \cdots \circ (\omega_i \wedge \omega_{i+1}) \circ \cdots \circ \omega_n = \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \int_{\gamma_\bullet} \omega_1 \circ \cdots \circ (\omega_i \wedge \omega_{i+1}) \circ \cdots \circ \omega_j \int_\sigma \omega_{j+1} \circ \cdots \circ \omega_n.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as in the case of 1-dimensional iterated integrals. The only difference is that if $j < i$ then the domain for the first integral will have dimension $j+1$, which is larger than the number of differential 1-forms, which is j. Thus, the first integral will be zero.

1.7 Three-dimensional iterated integrals

In this section we recall certain type of Chen's iterated integrals, which we call 3-dimensional iterated integrals. These integrals are defined by integration over a (real) 3-dimensional region of a variety. After we recall the definition and give notation, we state an analogue of Stokes theorem for 3-dimensional iterated integrals, which expresses 2-dimensional iterated integrals over the boundary of a 3-dimensional region in terms of 2-dimensional iterated integrals over the same region.

Let X be a smooth complex manifold of dimension at least 3. Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ be closed holomorphic differential 1-forms on X. Let $\omega_1^{(2)}, \cdots, \omega_n^{(2)}$ be closed holomorphic differential 2-forms on X. Let $\omega^{(3)}$ be a closed holomorphic differential 2-form on X. Let

$$\gamma_{\bullet 0} : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to X$$

and

$$\gamma_{\bullet 1} : [0,1] \times [0,1] \to X$$

be two homotopies of paths, which fix the end points. Let

$$\gamma_{\bullet\bullet} : [0,1]^3 \to X$$

be a homotopy of the two homotopies of the path

$$\gamma_{\bullet 0} : [0,1]^2 \to X$$

and

$$\gamma_{\bullet 1} : [0,1]^2 \to X,$$

which fixes the end points, such that $\gamma_{\bullet\bullet}(t, s_1, 0) = \gamma_{\bullet 0}(t, s_1)$ and $\gamma_{\bullet\bullet}(t, s_1, 1) = \gamma_{\bullet 1}(t, s_1)$. Define also the following homotopies of paths

$$\gamma_{0\bullet}(t, s_2) = \gamma_{\bullet\bullet}(t, 0, s_2)$$

and

$$\gamma_{1\bullet}(t,s_2)=\gamma_{\bullet\bullet}(t,1,s_2).$$

Define also a domain

$$\Delta^n_{\bullet\bullet}=\{(t_1,\cdots,t_n,s_1,s_2)\in[0,1]^{n+2}|0\leq t_1\leq\cdots\leq t_n,\ 0\leq s_1\leq 1,\ 0\leq s_2\leq 1\}.$$

We will omit the variables s_1 and s_2 from the notation of $\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_i(s_1,s_2,t_i)$, $\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega^{(2)}_i(s_1,s_2,t_i)$ and $\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega^{(3)}_i(s_1,s_2,t_i)$. We will write $\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_i(t_i)$, $\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega^{(2)}_i(t_i)$ and $\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega^{(3)}_i(t_i)$, respectively, in their place.

Definition 1.8 *A 3-dimensional iterated integral over $\gamma_{\bullet\bullet}$ can be of two types. One of the types is defined by*

$$I^{i,i+1}_{\bullet\bullet}=\int\int\int_{\gamma_{\bullet\bullet}}\omega_1\circ\cdots\circ\omega_{i-1}\circ\omega^{(3)}\circ\omega_{i+1}\circ\cdots\circ\omega_n=$$

$$=\int_{\Delta^n_{\bullet\bullet}}\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_1(t_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_{i-1}(t_{i-1})\wedge\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega^{(3)}(t_i)\wedge\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_{i+1}(t_{i+1})\wedge\cdots\wedge\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_n(t_n).$$

And the other type is defined for $i<j-1$

$$I^{i,j}_{\bullet\bullet}=\int\int\int_{\gamma_{\bullet\bullet}}\omega_1\circ\cdots\circ\omega_{i-1}\circ\omega^{(2)}_i\circ\omega_{i+1}\circ\cdots\circ\omega_{j-1}\circ\omega^{(2)}_j\circ\omega_{j+1}\circ\cdots\circ\omega_n=$$

$$=\int_{\Delta^n_{\bullet\bullet}}\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_1(t_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_{i-1}(t_{i-1})\wedge\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega^{(2)}_i(t_i)\wedge\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_{i+1}(t_{i+1})\wedge\cdots$$

$$\cdots\wedge\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_{j-1}(t_{j-1})\wedge\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega^{(2)}_j(t_j)\wedge\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_{j+1}(t_{j+1})\wedge\cdots\wedge\gamma^*_{\bullet\bullet}\omega_n(t_n).$$

And set

$$I^{j,i}_{\bullet\bullet}=I^{i,j}_{\bullet\bullet}.$$

Now let us recall Stokes theorem for 3-dimensional iterated integrals. Let

$$\widetilde{\omega}^{(2)}_j=\omega_j\wedge\omega_{j+1}.$$

Let

$$\widetilde{\omega}^{(3)}_i=\omega^{(2)}_i\wedge\omega_{i+1}$$

and let

$$\widetilde{\omega}^{(3)}_{i-1}=\omega_{i-1}\wedge\omega^{(2)}_i$$

Theorem 1.9 *The forms $\widetilde{\omega}^{(2)}_j$, $\widetilde{\omega}^{(3)}_i$ and $\widetilde{\omega}^{(3)}_{i-1}$ will enter in the definition of the 3-dimensional iterated integrals. The 2-form $\omega^{(2)}_i$ will enter in the definition of the 2-dimensional iterated integrals. Then*

$$I^i_{0\bullet}-I^i_{1\bullet}+I^i_{\bullet0}-I^i_{\bullet1}=2\sum_{j\neq i}I^{i,j}_{\bullet\bullet}.$$

We will use a particular case of this theorem, which we will formulate in a corollary.

Corollary 1.10 *Over a two dimensional complex manifold, we have*

$$I^i_{0\bullet}-I^i_{1\bullet}+I^i_{\bullet0}-I^i_{\bullet1}=0.$$

2 Abstract reciprocity law

2.1 Definitions

Consider X a projective smooth surface over the complex numbers. Let f_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$ be rational functions on X.

Definition 2.1 *(Divisors C_i and D_{ij}) Denote by C_i for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ the components of the divisors of f_1, f_2 and f_3. Assume that the curves C_i are non-singular and that they intersect properly. Let*

$$div(f_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} n_{ki} C_i.$$

Let x_i be a rational function on X, which has zero along C_i of order 1, and has no zeroes or poles along C_j for $j \neq i$. Let

$$div(x_i) = C_j + \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} m_{ij} D_{ij}.$$

We choose x_i for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, M$ so that $D_{ij} \neq D_{i'j'}$ for $(i, j) \neq (i', j')$. Let y_{ij} be a rational function on X, which has zero along D_{ij} of order 1, and has no zeroes or poles along $C_{i'}$ for $i' = 0, 1, \ldots, M$ and along $D_{i'j'}$ for $(i', j') \neq (i, j)$.

We will examine what happens along the curve C_0. Choose a small positive number ϵ. Let $0 < \epsilon_0 < \epsilon$ and $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon$. We will define a tubular neighborhood of radius ϵ_0 around the following space: the curve C_0 minus ϵ_1 neighborhoods of the intersections of C_0 with C_j for $j > 0$ and with D_{ij} for all i and j. Near the intersection points we will define tori. We are going to make precise what means ϵ_0 or ϵ_1 neighborhood. We need this 2-dimensional region in order to examine iterated integrals over it. Afterwards, we are going to cut this 2-dimensional region so that topologically it looks like a rectangle, where each side is a path on X, which lies on an algebraic curve.

Definition 2.2 *(Intersection points P_{jl} and P_{1jl}) Let*

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{N} P_{jl} = C_1 \cap C_j$$

and let

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{M_1} P_{1jl} = C_1 \cap D_{1j}.$$

We will construct compact neighborhoods, which separate the points P_{jl} and P_{1jl}.

Definition 2.3 *(Neighborhoods $\tilde{T}_{jl}$ and $\tilde{T}_{1jl}$ of the points P_{jl} and P_{1jl}) Let*

$$E_j = \{P \in X | |x_0(P)| < \epsilon_0 \text{ and } |x_j(P)| < \epsilon_1\}.$$

Define

$$E_{1j} = \{P \in X | \left|\frac{x_0(P)}{y_{1j}(P)}\right| < \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon_{1j}^{m_{1j}}} \text{ and } |y_{1j}(P)| < \epsilon_{1j}\}.$$

Denote by $\tilde{T}_{jl}$ the connected component in E_j around the point P_{jl}. Also, denote by $\tilde{T}_{1jl}$ the connected component in E_{1j} around the point P_{1jl}.

We will find relations between the exponents m_{1j} and the small constants ϵ_{1j} so that the set E_{1j} consists of small compact sets.

If $m_{1j} > 0$ then we want $\frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon_{1j}^{m_{1j}}} < \epsilon$ and $\epsilon_{1j} < \epsilon$. This can be achieved by taking

$$\epsilon_0 < \epsilon^{1+m_{1j}}$$

and

$$\epsilon_{1j}^{m_{1j}} < \min(\epsilon^{m_{1j}}, \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon}).$$

If $m_{1j} < 0$ then we want $\frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon_{1j}^{m_{1j}}} < \epsilon$ and $\epsilon_{1j} < \epsilon$. This can be achieved by taking

$$\epsilon_0 < \epsilon$$

and

$$\epsilon_{1j} < \epsilon.$$

Globally, we can choose ϵ_0 so that $\epsilon_0 < \epsilon^{1+\max m_{1j}}$, where the maximum is taken over all j's such that $m_{1j} > 0$. If all $m_{1j} < 0$ then choose $\epsilon_0 < \epsilon$. For ϵ_{1j}, we choose $\epsilon_{1j} < \epsilon$ if $m_{1j} < 0$ and $\epsilon_{1j}^{m_{1j}} < \min(\epsilon^{m_{1j}}, \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon})$.

For fixed j, we can choose ϵ small enough so that the compact sets E_j is a disjoint union of neighborhoods - one for each point P_{jl}. Similarly, for fixed j, we can choose ϵ small enough so that the compact sets E_{1j} is a disjoint union of neighborhoods - one for each point P_{1jl}. Pick the smallest values of ϵ among the above choices. We can choose ϵ even smaller, so that the compact neighborhoods $\tilde{T}_{jl}$ for all j and l and the compact neighborhoods $\tilde{T}_{1jl}$ for all j and l are disjoint.

Definition 2.4 *(Tubular neighborhood) Let*

$$Tb = \{P \in X||x_1| < \epsilon_0\} - \bigcup_{j,l} \tilde{T}_{jl} - \bigcup_{j,l} \tilde{T}_{1jl}.$$

Definition 2.5 *(Foliation of the tubular neighborhood) Let u be a complex number such that $|u| \leq \epsilon_0$. Define*

$$Tb_u = \{P \in X|x_1(P) = u\}.$$

Note that $Tb_0 \subset C_0$.

Definition 2.6 *Define*

$$D_{\epsilon_0} = \{u \in \mathbb{C}||u| < \epsilon_0\}.$$

Lemma 2.7 *(fibration) For all $u < \epsilon_0$, the sequence of topological space*

$$Tb_u \to Tb \to D_{\epsilon_0}$$

is a fibration, which splits, since D_{ϵ_0} is contractible.

Proof. The map

$$\bar{x}_0 : Tb \to D_{\epsilon_0}$$

is a restriction of the map

$$x_0 : X \to \mathbb{C},$$

which is singular only at finitely many points in the range D_{ϵ_0}. Thus, by decreasing ϵ_0, we can assume that $\bar{x}_0$ is singular at most at one point of D_{ϵ_0}. If it turns out to be non-singular then we have a fibration.

Assume the map $\bar{x}_0$ has one singular point u_0 in D_{ϵ_0}. If $u_0 \neq 0$ then we can decrease ϵ_0 so that $\epsilon_0 < u_0$. Then $\bar{x}_0$ will not have a singular point.

Assume that $\bar{x}_0$ is singular at $0 \in D_{\epsilon_0}$. Consider the boundaries of Tb_0 and Tb_u. Note that the connected components of their boundaries are circles. Also, each of the two spaces have the same number of connected components of their boundaries. We claim that small neighborhoods of their boundaries are homotopic. Let ϵ' be a small positive real number. Then connected components of the domains

$$\{P \in Tb_u | \epsilon_1 < |x_j(P)| < (1+\epsilon')\epsilon_1\}$$

and

$$\{P \in Tb_0 | \epsilon_1 < |x_j(P)| < (1+\epsilon')\epsilon_1\}$$

are cylinders, corresponding to the points P_{jl} for admissible values of l. Similarly, connected components of the domains

$$\{P \in Tb_u | \epsilon_{1j} < |y_{1j}(P)| < (1+\epsilon')\epsilon_{1j}\}$$

and

$$\{P \in Tb_0 | \epsilon_{1j} < |y_{1j}(P)| < (1+\epsilon')\epsilon_{1j}\}$$

are cylinders, corresponding to the points P_{1jl} for admissible values of l. By decreasing u we obtain a degeneration of the topological surface Tb_u to Tb_0. Now one can use Riemann-Hurwitz Theorem. Since the number of connected components of the boundaries of Tb_0 and Tb_u are the same and also small neighborhoods of the boundaries are homotopic, we obtain that the topological surfaces Tb_0 and Tb_u have the same genus. Thus, Tb_0 and Tb_u are homotopic. This proves that we have a fibration map $\bar{x}_0$. A direct consequence of the above lemma is the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8 *(homotopy) For all $u < \epsilon_0$ there is a homotopy map*

$$h : D_{\epsilon_0} \times Tb_0 \to Tb,$$

such that

$$h(u, Tb_0) = Tb_u.$$

Definition 2.9 *(Points, loops and paths on Tb_0) Let $Q \in Tb_0$ be an interior point. Let R_{jl} and R_{1jl} be base points on each of the connected components of the boundary of Tb_0. We choose R_{jl} and R_{1jl} so that they are close to the intersection points P_{jl} and P_{1jl}.*

Let σ'_{jl} be a loop on Tb_0 defined by

$$\sigma^0_{jl} : [0,1] \to T_{jl}$$

$$t \mapsto (x_0, x_j)^{-1}(0, \epsilon_1 e^{2\pi i t}),$$

starting at $R_{jl} = (x_0, x_j)^{-1}(0, \epsilon_1)$. Let also σ^0_{1jl} be a loop on Tb_0 defined by

$$\sigma^0_{1jl} : [0,1] \to T_{1jl}$$

$$t \mapsto (x_0, y_{1j})^{-1}(0, \epsilon_{1j} e^{2\pi i t}),$$

starting at $R_{1jl} = (x_0, x_j)^{-1}(0, \epsilon_{1j})$.

Let γ_{jl} *be a path from* Q *to* R_{jl} *and let* γ_{1jl} *be a path from* Q *to* R_{1jl}.

Denote by

$$\sigma_{jl} = \gamma_{jl} \sigma_j^0 \gamma_{jl}^{-1}$$

and

$$\sigma_{1jl} = \gamma_{1jl} \sigma_{1j}^0 \gamma_{1jl}^{-1}.$$

Choose the paths γ_{jl} *and* γ_{1jl} *so that the loop* δ, *defined by*

$$\delta = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{g} [\alpha_i, \beta_i] \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{jl} \right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{M_1} \sigma_{1jl} \right),$$

is homotopic to the trivial loop at Q, *where* g *is the genus of the curve* Tb_0 *and* $[\alpha_i, \beta_i]$ *is the commutator of loops* α_i *and* β_i *around the handles of* Tb_0. *Note that the genus of the topological surface* Tb_0 *is the same as the genus of the curve* C_0.

Definition 2.10 *(Points, loops and paths on* Tb_u*) We lift the points* Q, R_{jl} *and* R_{1jl} *and the loops and paths* γ_{jl}, γ_{1jl}, σ'_{jl}, σ'_{1jl}, σ_{jl}, σ_{1jl}, α_i *and* β_i *from* Tb_0 *to* Tb_u, *using the homotopy* h *from Corollary 2.8. Let*

$$\tilde{Q} = h(u, Q)$$

$$\tilde{R}_{jl} = h(u, R_{jl}), \quad \tilde{R}_{1jl} = h(u, R_{1jl}),$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{jl} = h(u, \gamma_{jl}), \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{1jl} = h(u, \gamma_{1jl}),$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}'_{jl} = h(u, \sigma'_{jl}), \quad \tilde{\sigma}'_{1jl} = h(u, \sigma'_{1jl}),$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{jl} = h(u, \sigma_{jl}), \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{1jl} = h(u, \sigma_{1jl}),$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}_i = h(u, \alpha_i), \quad \tilde{\beta}_i = h(u, \beta_i)$$

on Tb_u.

Definition 2.11 *(Loops around the curve* C_0*) For* $u = \epsilon_0 e^{2\pi i t}$, *we define the loops*

$$\tau(t) = h(\epsilon_0 e^{2\pi i t}, Q), \qquad \textit{starting at } \tilde{Q}$$

$$\tau_{jl}(t) = h(\epsilon_0 e^{2\pi i t}, R_{jl}), \qquad \textit{starting at } \tilde{R}_{jl}$$

$$\tau_{1jl}(t) = h(\epsilon_0 e^{2\pi i t}, R_{1jl}), \qquad \textit{starting at } \tilde{R}_{1jl}$$

on Tb *around* C_0.

Definition 2.12 *(Tori T'_{jl}, T'_{1jl}, T_{jl}, T_{1jl} and T_i) For $u = \epsilon_0 e^{2\pi it}$, we define the tori T_{jl} and T_{1jl}*

$$T'_{jl} = \{h(\epsilon_0 e^{2\pi it}, \sigma'_{jl}(s)) | 0 \neq s \neq 1, 0 \neq t \neq 1\},$$

$$T'_{1jl} = \{h(\epsilon_0 e^{2\pi it}, \sigma'_{1jl}(s)) | 0 \neq s \neq 1, 0 \neq t \neq 1\}.$$

$$T_{jl} = \{h(\epsilon_0 e^{2\pi it}, \sigma_{jl}(s)) | 0 \neq s \neq 1, 0 \neq t \neq 1\},$$

$$T_{1jl} = \{h(\epsilon_0 e^{2\pi it}, \sigma_{1jl}(s)) | 0 \neq s \neq 1, 0 \neq t \neq 1\}.$$

$$T_i = \{h(\epsilon_0 e^{2\pi it}, [\alpha_i, \beta_i](s)) | 0 \neq s \neq 1, 0 \neq t \neq 1\},$$

where T'_{jl}, T'_{1jl} are tori near the points P_{jl} and near P_{1jl}, respectively.

Definition 2.13 *('boundary' of the Tori T_{jl}, T_{1jl} and T_i) By a boundary of any of the above tori, we mean the loop on the torus, corresponding to the boundary of the defining region. More precisely, consider the two loops $\tilde{\sigma}'_{jl}$ and τ_{jl}. They are generators of the torus T_{jl}. Cut the torus T_{jl} along both loops $\tilde{\sigma}'_{jl}$ and τ_{jl}. We obtain a square. By a boundary of the torus T_{jl}, we mean the boundary of this square. Algebraically, this means that the boundary is a commutator. Explicitly,*

$$\partial T'_{jl} = [\tilde{\sigma}'_{jl}, \tau_{jl}], \text{ starting at } \tilde{R}_{jl},$$

$$\partial T'_{1jl} = [\tilde{\sigma}'_{1jl}, \tau_{jl}], \text{ starting at } \tilde{R}_{1jl},$$

$$\partial T_{jl} = [\tilde{\sigma}_{jl}, \tau_{jl}], \text{ starting at } \tilde{Q},$$

$$\partial T_{1jl} = [\tilde{\sigma}_{1jl}, \tau_{jl}], \text{ starting at } \tilde{Q},$$

$$\partial T_i = [[\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\beta}_i], \tau], \text{ starting at } \tilde{Q}.$$

Definition 2.14 *For fixed u let μ be a loop on Tb_u, starting at the point $\tilde{Q}$, defined as a map*

$$\mu : [0,1] \to Tb_u.$$

Consider the torus T as the image of $[0,1]^2$ to X

$$T : [0,1]^2 \to X,$$

$$T(s_0, s_1) = h(\epsilon_0 e^{2\pi i s_0}, \mu(s_1)),$$

where h is the homotopy defined in Corollary 2.8. Note that we have define two generators of the torus T, namely, μ and τ. Similarly to Definition 2.13, we define a boundary of T, denoted by ∂T, by a commutator

$$\partial T = [\mu, \tau].$$

Definition 2.15 *We are going to define a new homotopy of paths that parameterizes the torus T from the previous definition. For $s \in [0,1]$ we define*

$$\gamma'_s(t) = \begin{cases} (st, 0) & t \in [0,1] \\ (s, t-1) & t \in [1,2] \\ (s(3-t), 1) & t \in [2,3] \\ (0, 4-t) & t \in [3,4] \end{cases}$$

Note that for fixed $s \in (0,1)$ the path γ'_s starts at $(0,0)$ and continues along the boundary of the rectangle with sides s and 1. In the limit $s = 1$ the path γ'_1 becomes the boundary of the square $[0,1]^2$. And for $s = 0$ the path γ'_0 becomes just one edge in direction x_2 with length 1. Let $\gamma'_\bullet(s,t) = \gamma'_s(t)$. The parametrization of the torus T is given by

$$\gamma_\bullet = T \circ \gamma'_\bullet,$$

where $\circ$ denotes a composition of functions. Define also

$$\gamma_s = T \circ \gamma'_s.$$

2.2 Construction of an abstract reciprocity law

For the torus T, we have the following non-commutative Stokes Theorem (Theorem 1.5).

Theorem 2.16

$$\text{(a)} \int_{\partial T} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} = \int\int_T \frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \frac{df_2}{f_2};$$

$$\text{(b)} \int_{\partial T} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3} = \int\int_T \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \left(\frac{df_2}{f_2} \wedge \frac{df_3}{f_3}\right) + \int\int_T \left(\frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \frac{df_2}{f_2}\right) \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3}.$$

Proof. The integrals on the left hands side are defined in Definition 1.1, using the path

$$\gamma_1 = T \circ \gamma'_1 : t \mapsto X$$

constructed in Definition 2.15. The integrals on the righthand side are defined in Definition 1.4, using the homotopy of paths $\gamma_\bullet = T \circ \gamma_\bullet$, constructed in Definition 2.15. Applying Theorem 1.5 to $\gamma_\bullet$, we obtain the above theorem.

Definition 2.17 *(relation in the fundamental group) Let*

$$\delta = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{g} [\alpha_i, \beta_i]\right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{jl}\right) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{M_1} \sigma_{1jl}\right),$$

be homotopic to the trivial loop at Q in Tb_0. Let δ_{s_2}, for $0 \leq s_2 \leq 1$ be a homotopy between the loop δ and the trivial loop at Q, where the homotopy is with fixed starting point Q. So that for $s_2 = 1$ we have

$$\delta_1 = \delta$$

and for $s_2 = 0$ *we have*

$$\delta_0 \equiv Q.$$

For each value of s_2 *and for fixed* u *consider the loop*

$$\tilde{\delta}_{s_2} = h(u, \delta_{s_2})$$

on Tb_u*, where* h *is the homotopy defined in Corollary 2.8. Note that* $\tilde{\delta}_{s_2}$ *gives a homotopy sitting in* Tb_u *between* $\tilde{\delta}_1$ *and the trivial loop at* $\tilde{Q}$.

Theorem 2.18 *Using Definition 2.17 of* $\tilde{\delta}_1$*, by taking* $s_2 = 1$*, and Definition 2.11 of* τ*, we have*

$$\int_{[\tilde{\delta}_1,\tau]} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3} = 0.$$

Remark 2.19 *Theorem 2.18 will be interpreted later as the sum of the logarithmic symbols is an integer multiple of* $(2\pi i)^3$*. Where are the logarithmic symbols? The logarithmic symbols will be*

$$\int_{[\tilde{\sigma}_{jl},\tau]} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3}.$$

The integrals

$$\int_{[\tilde{\sigma}'_{jl},\tau]} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3} = 0$$

where we use $\tilde{\sigma}'_{jl}$ *instead of* $\tilde{\sigma}_{jl}$*. And finally, the integer multiple of* $(2\pi i)^3$ *will come from*

$$\int_{[[\tilde{\alpha}_i,\tilde{\beta}_i],\tau]} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3}.$$

Actually there is one other source of integer multiples of $(2\pi i)^3$*, which will be called 'extra terms'.*

We are going to prove this theorem using Theorem 1.6 and Non-commutative Stokes Theorem for iterated integrals stated in Theorem 1.9. Before doing that we have to make several definitions.

Definition 2.20 *(For* 3*-dimensional non-commutative Stokes Theorem) For each value of* s_2 *and for fixed* u *consider the loop*

$$\tilde{\delta}_{s_2} = h(u, \delta_{s_2})$$

on Tb_u*, where* h *is the homotopy defined in Corollary 2.8. Now use Definition 1.14. Instead of the loop* μ *use* $\tilde{\delta}_{s_2}$*, in order to define a torus. Denote the corresponding torus by* T_{s_2}*. Now use Definition 1.15 to define parametrization of the torus* T_{s_2}*. For fixed* s_2*, let*

$$\gamma_{\bullet,s_2} = T_{s_2} \circ \gamma'_{\bullet}.$$

Similarly, for fixed s *and* s_2 *let*

$$\gamma_{s,s_2} = T_{s_2} \circ \gamma'_{s}.$$

Now instead of the variable s write the variable s_1. When s_1 denote

$$\gamma_{s_1,\bullet}(s_2,t)=\gamma_{s_1,s_2}(t).$$

Let also

$$\gamma_{\bullet,\bullet}(s_1,s_2,t)=\gamma_{s_1,s_2}(t).$$

Proof. (of Theorem 1.18) In the notation of Definition 1.19, the domain of integration is ∂T_1. It is enough to show that

$$\int\int_{T_1}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\left(\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right)=0$$

and

$$\int\int_{T_1}\left(\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2}\right)\circ\frac{df_3}{f_3}=0.$$

Using the notation from Definition 1.19 and from subsection 1.7, we have

$$\int\int_{T_1}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\left(\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right)=\int\int_{\gamma_{\bullet,1}}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\left(\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right).$$

From Theorem 1.9, we have

$$2\int\int\int_{\gamma_{\bullet,\bullet}}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}=\left(\int\int_{\gamma_{0,\bullet}}-\int\int_{\gamma_{1,\bullet}}+\int\int_{\gamma_{\bullet,0}}-\int\int_{\gamma_{\bullet,1}}\right)\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\left(\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right).$$

We have identified the last integral. Note also that

$$\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}=0,$$

because X is a 2-dimensional variety. The domain $\gamma_{\bullet,0}$ comes from $\tilde{\delta}_{s_2}$ for $s_2=0$, which is the trivial loop at $\tilde{Q}$. Then $\gamma_{\bullet,0}$ is a 1-dimensional region. Therefore,

$$\int\int_{\gamma_{\bullet,0}}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\left(\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right)=0.$$

For fixed s_1 the domain of integration $\gamma_{s_1,\bullet}$ lies inside Tb_u. Since Tb_u is a subset of the algebraic curve on X given by the equation $x_0=u$, we obtain that

$$\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}=0.$$

Therefore,

$$\int\int_{\gamma_{0,\bullet}}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\left(\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right)=0$$

and

$$\int\int_{\gamma_{1,\bullet}}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\left(\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right)=0.$$

Thus, the integral

$$\int\int_{\gamma_{\bullet,1}} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \left(\frac{df_2}{f_2} \wedge \frac{df_3}{f_3}\right) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\int\int_{T_1} \left(\frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \frac{df_2}{f_2}\right) \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3} = \int\int_{\gamma_{\bullet,1}} \left(\frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \frac{df_2}{f_2}\right) \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3} = 0.$$

Now we use Theorem 2.16, and we obtain

$$\int\int_{\partial T_1} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3} = 0.$$

Definition 2.21 *(μ_i) Define loops μ_i so that μ_i is either $[\tilde{\alpha}_j, \tilde{\beta}_j]$ or $\tilde{\sigma}_{jl}$, or $\tilde{\sigma}_{1jl}$. Let K be the number of all the above different loops. The integer K is chosen so that for the ordered product we have*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{K} \mu_i = \tilde{\delta}_1.$$

Definition 2.22 *(π_j) Define loops π_i by*

$$\pi_j = \prod_{i=1}^{j} \mu_i.$$

Note that $\pi_1 = \mu_1$ and $\pi_K = \tilde{\delta}_1$, which is homotopic to the trivial loop at $\tilde{Q}$.

Lemma 2.23 *(a)* $[\pi_{j+1}, \tau] = \pi_j[\mu_{j+1}, \tau]\pi_j^{-1}[\pi_j, \tau]$.

(b) $[\pi_K, \tau] = \prod_{i=1}^{K}(\pi_{K-i}[\mu_{K-i+1}, \tau]\pi_{K-i}^{-1})$.

Proof. It follows by direct computation of commutators.

Lemma 2.24

$$0 = \int_{[\pi_K,\tau]} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \int_{\pi_{K-i}[\mu_{K-i+1},\tau]\pi_{K-i}^{-1}} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3}.$$

Proof. The first equality is Theorem 2.18. For the second equality, we use composition of paths in iterated integrals stated in Corollary 1.3. By induction, we can decompose the path $[\pi_K, \tau]$ into two paths, then into three paths and finally into K paths $\pi_{K-i}[\mu_{K-i+1}, \tau]\pi_{K-i}^{-1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, K$. Using Corollary 1.3, we can expand the iterated integral over $[\pi_K, \tau]$ in terms of sum of products of iterated integrals over $\pi_{K-i}[\mu_{K-i+1}, \tau]\pi_{K-i}^{-1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, K$. Note that

$$\int_{\pi_{K-i}[\mu_{K-i+1},\tau]\pi_{K-i}^{-1}} \omega_1 = 0$$

for any differential 1-form ω. Therefore, when we have a product of iterated integrals in the expansion, we must have at least one integral of one differential 1-form.

That gives zero contribution. Therefore, there are no products in the expansion over the smaller paths, but only a sum of triple iterations over each of the smaller paths $\pi_{K-i}[\mu_{K-i+1},\tau]\pi_{K-i}^{-1}$. This proves the lemma. Now we are going to examine each of the components $\int_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\frac{df_2}{f_2}\circ\frac{df_3}{f_3}$.

Lemma 2.25

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\frac{df_2}{f_2}\circ\frac{df_3}{f_3} = \quad & \int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\frac{df_2}{f_2}\circ\frac{df_3}{f_3}\\ & +\int_{\pi_i}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{df_2}{f_2}\circ\frac{df_3}{f_3}+\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\frac{df_2}{f_2}\int_{\pi_i^{-1}}\frac{df_3}{f_3}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Decompose the path $\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}$ into π_i, $[\mu_{i+1},\tau]$ and π_i^{-1}. Then use Corollary 1.3. Finally, use that

$$\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\omega = 0$$

for any differential 1-form ω.

Lemma 2.26 *(a) For $i \leq g$, where g is the genus of C_0, we have $\mu_i = [\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i]$. Then*

$$\int_{\mu_i}\omega = 0$$

and consequently,

$$\int_{\pi_i}\omega = 0$$

for a 1 form ω. (b) When $\mu_i = \tilde{\sigma}'_{jl}$, we have

$$\int_{[\mu_i,\tau]}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\frac{df_2}{f_2} = 0$$

and

$$\int_{[\mu_i,\tau]}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\frac{df_2}{f_2}\circ\frac{df_3}{f_3} = 0.$$

Proof. Part (a) is straight forward. Part (b) will be proven in subsection 3.1.

Definition 2.27 *When $\mu_i = \tilde{\sigma}_{jl}$, we define a new logarithmic symbol as*

$$Log[f_1,f_2,f_3]^{x_0,Q}_{C_0,P_{jl}} = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{[\mu_i,\tau]}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\frac{df_2}{f_2}\circ\frac{df_3}{f_3}.$$

Definition 2.28 *For $i \leq g$, where g is the genus of C_0, we have $\mu_i = [\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\beta}_i]$. Then we call the integral*

$$\int_{[\mu_i,\tau]}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\frac{df_2}{f_2}\circ\frac{df_3}{f_3}.$$

the **commutator** $[\alpha,\beta]$**-terms**

Definition 2.29 *Consider the terms*

$$\int_{\pi_i} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]} \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3}$$

and

$$\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \int_{\pi_i^{-1}} \frac{df_3}{f_3}$$

in Lemma 2.26. The only case when these terms do not vanish is when $i > g$ *and* $\mu_{i+1} = \tilde{\sigma}_{jl}$. *In this case we call the above two integrals* **extra terms**.

Remark 2.30 *We are going to show that a commutator* $[\alpha, \beta]$*-term gives an integer multiple of* $(2\pi i)^3$ *in Lemma 3.25 and also that the extra terms give an integer multiple of* $(2\pi i)^3$ *in Theorem 3.30.*

Theorem 2.31 *(Abstract reciprocity law)*

$$\sum_{P_{jl}} Log[f_1, f_2, f_3]^{x_0,Q}_{C_0,P_{jl}} = -\sum(commutator\ terms) - \sum(extra\ terms).$$

Note that from the previous remark the right hand side of the above equation is an integer multiple of $(2\pi i)^3$.

Proof. For i such that $\mu_i = \tilde{\sigma}_{jl}$, by Lemma 2.25, we have that the sum of the Log-symbols and the extra terms gives the sum of

$$\int_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3},$$

over the above type of indexes i. For $i \leq g$ we have $\mu_i = [\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i]$. Then by Lemma 2.6 (a), we have

$$\int_{[\mu_i,\tau]} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3} = \int_{\pi_{i-1}[\mu_i,\tau]\pi_{i-1}^{-1}} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3}.$$

For i such that $\mu_i = \tilde{\sigma}'_{jl}$, by Lemma 2.26(b), we have that

$$\int_{\pi_{i-1}[\mu_i,\tau]\pi_{i-1}^{-1}} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3} = 0.$$

We have to show that the sum of the above three integrals is zero. By Lemma 2.24, we have that this sum is equal to

$$\int_{[\pi_K,\tau]} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3},$$

where $\pi_K = \tilde{\delta}_1$. Now from Theorem 2.18, the above integral is zero.

3 Construction of a new symbol and a reciprocity law

3.1 Local properties. Integrating over the torus T'_{jl}

In this subsection, we use the notation from subsection 2.4. Consider the torus T'_{jl} from Definition 2.12. We use Definition 2.14 and 2.15 in order to define iterated integrals over T'_{jl} and over $\partial T'_{jl}$. Let x_i be as in Definition 2.1. Then near the point P_{jl} we have local coordinates x_0 and x_j. For $k = 1, 2, 3$ let

$$f_k = x_0^{n_{k0}} x_j^{n_{kj}} g_k,$$

where g_k are holomorphic functions near $(x_0, x_j) = (0, 0)$. We are going to simplify the notation.

Definition 3.1 *(Integers m_k and n_k, function x, y and g_k and torus T_0)*
We are going to use the integers

$$m_k \textit{ instead of } n_{k0},$$

$$n_k \textit{ instead of } n_{kj},$$

and the functions

$$x \textit{ instead of } x_0,$$

$$y \textit{ instead of } x_j,$$

(see Definition 2.1 for n_{k0} and for n_{kj}). Also we are going to use

$$T_0 \textit{ instead of } T'_{jl},$$

(see Definition 2.12 for T'_{jl}). We define g_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$ by

$$f_k = x^{m_k} y^{n_k} g_k.$$

Note that g_k is non-zero holomorphic function near $(x, y) = (0, 0)$.

Lemma 3.2 *(a)* $\int\int_{\partial T_0} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} = \int\int_{T_0} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \frac{df_2}{f_2} = -(m_1 n_2 - m_2 n_1)(2\pi i)^2$;
(b) $\int\int_{T_0} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \left(\frac{df_2}{f_2} \wedge \frac{df_3}{f_3}\right) = -(m_1 + n_1)(m_2 n_3 - m_3 n_2)\frac{(2\pi i)^3}{2} + O(\epsilon)$;
(c) $\int\int_{T_0} \left(\frac{df_1}{f_1} \wedge \frac{df_2}{f_2}\right) \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3} = (m_3 + n_3)(m_1 n_2 - m_2 n_1)\frac{(2\pi i)^3}{2} + O(\epsilon)$.

Proof. Denote by $O(x, y)$ all the terms of degree at least 1 in the variable x or y. Denote by $O(1)$ all the terms of degree at least 0 or higher. Note that on T_0 we have $|x| < \epsilon$ and $|y| < \epsilon$. For part (a), we have

$$\frac{df_1}{f_1} = m_1 \frac{dx}{x} + n_1 \frac{dy}{y} + \frac{dg_1}{g_1} = m_1 \frac{dx}{x} + n_1 \frac{dy}{y} + dO(x, y),$$

since g_1 has no zeroes or poles at $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. Similarly,

$$\frac{df_2}{f_2} = m_2 \frac{dx}{x} + n_2 \frac{dy}{y} + dO(x, y).$$

Then

$$\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2}=(m_1n_2-m_2n_1)\frac{dx}{x}\wedge\frac{dy}{y}+\frac{dx}{x}\wedge dO(x,y)+dO(x,y)\wedge dO(x,y)+dO(x,y)\wedge\frac{dy}{y}.$$

Since x and y vary over loops, we only pick the residues, when we compute the integral. Therefore,

$$\int_{T_0}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2}=(m_1n_2-m_2n_1)\int_{T_0}\frac{dx_0}{x_0}\wedge\frac{dx_j}{x_j}=-(m_1n_2-m_2n_1)(2\pi i)^2.$$

For part (b) denote by $\gamma_\bullet(s,t)=T_0\circ\gamma'_s(t)$, as in Definition 2.15. Note that for $t\notin[1,2]$, the image of $\gamma_\bullet$ is one dimensional. In order to have a non-zero 2-dimensional iterated integral, we must have the 2-form integrated over $\gamma_\bullet$ restricted to $(s,t)\in[0,1]\times[1,2]$. All other intervals for t will have no contribution, since $\gamma_\bullet$ has 1-dimensional image. Then the first 1-form in the 2-dimensional iterated integral, must be integrated over γ_s up to a point $(s,t)\in[0,1]\times[1,2]$. Consider the image of $\gamma_\bullet$ in the (x_0,x_j)-coordinate system. Then we have to integrate the first 1-form up to (x'_0,x'_j) along γ_s for some s. We obtain

$$\int_{T_0}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\left(\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right)=\int\int_{[0,1]^2}\left(\int_{(x'_0,x'_j)=(0,0)}^{(x_1,x_2)}\frac{df_1}{f_1}(x'_1,x'_2)\right)\left(\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right)(x_1,x_2).$$

We have

$$\int_{(x'_1,x'_2)=(0,0)}^{(x_1,x_2)}\frac{df_1}{f_1}(x'_1,x'_2)=m_1\frac{dx_0}{x_0}+n_1\frac{dx_j}{x_j}+O(\epsilon).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\int_{T_0}\tfrac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\left(\tfrac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\tfrac{df_3}{f_3}\right)=\\ &=\int_{T_0}\left(m_1\tfrac{dx_0}{x_0}+n_1\tfrac{dx_j}{x_j}\right)\circ\left((m_2n_3-m_3n_2)\tfrac{dx_0}{x_0}\wedge\tfrac{dx_j}{x_j}\right)+O(\epsilon)=\\ &=-(m_1+n_1)(m_2n_3-m_3n_2)\tfrac{(2\pi i)^3}{2}+O(\epsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Part (c) can be proven in a similar way as part (b).

Corollary 3.3 *For the torus $\partial T'_{1jl}$, we have (a) $\int\int_{\partial T'_{1jl}}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\frac{df_2}{f_2}=\int\int_{T_0}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2}=0$;*

(b) $\int\int_{\partial T'_{1jl}}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\left(\frac{df_2}{f_2}\wedge\frac{df_3}{f_3}\right)=O(\epsilon)$;

(c) $\int\int_{\partial T'_{1jl}}\left(\frac{df_1}{f_1}\wedge\frac{df_2}{f_2}\right)\circ\frac{df_3}{f_3}=O(\epsilon)$.

Proof. We are going to use the curves D_{ij} from Definition 2.1. Since the differential forms $\frac{df_k}{f_k}$ have no residue along D_{ij}, we obtain that the corollary as a consequence of Lemma 3.2.

3.2 Semi-local behavior. Integrating over the torus T_{jl}.

We are going to use the construction of the domain of integration from the subsection 2.4.

Definition 3.4 *(Paths γ_0, γ, loops σ_0, σ, τ_0) We are going to use*

$$\gamma_0 \textit{ instead of } \gamma_{jl},$$

$$\gamma \textit{ instead of } \tilde{\gamma}_{jl},$$

$$\sigma_0 \textit{ instead of } \tilde{\sigma}'_{jl},$$

$$\sigma \textit{ instead of } \tilde{\sigma}_{jl},$$

$$\tau_0 \textit{ instead of } \tau_{jl}.$$

See Definition 2.9 for γ_{jl}. See Definition 2.10 for $\tilde{\gamma}_{jl}$, $\tilde{\sigma}'_{jl}$, and $\tilde{\sigma}_{jl}$. See Definition 2.11 for τ_{jl}.

Definition 3.5 *(τ') Let τ' be a loop on Tb_u starting at $\tilde{Q}$, defined in the following way. First define a constant C by*

$$(x,y)(\tilde{Q}) = (\epsilon_1, C).$$

For $t \in [0,1]$ define the loop τ' so that

$$\tau'(0) = \tilde{Q}$$

and

$$\tau'(t) \subset (x,y)^{-1}(\epsilon_1 e^{2\pi i t}, C).$$

Note that τ' does not vary in direction of y, while the loop τ might vary in that direction. See Definition 2.11 for τ.

Definition 3.6 *(T and T') We are going to use*

$$T \textit{ instead of } T_{jl}.$$

Let T' denote a torus with generators τ' and σ.

Definition 3.7 *(λ^t) For fixed t, define also Λ^t to be a segment of the straight line, in the complex plane $x = \epsilon_1 e^{2\pi i t}$ in the coordinate system (x,y), joining $(x,y)(\tau(t))$ with $(x,y)(\tau_j(t))$. Let*

$$\lambda^t \subset (x,y)^{-1} L^t$$

be the corresponding path, joining $\tau(t)$ with $\tau'(t)$.

Proposition 3.8 *The following two iterated integrals are equal in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$. That is,*

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\int_{[\sigma,\tau']}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}} - \int_{[\sigma,\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}} = 0,$$

where the commutator $[\sigma, \tau']$ in the first integral is the boundary of T' and the commutator $[\sigma, \tau]$ in the second integral is the boundary of T.

Remark 3.9 *It is easier to do explicit computations, using the first integral, involving* τ'*.*

Recall that τ_0 and σ_0 are the two loops on T_0 (see Definitions 2.13 and 3.3).

Definition 3.10 *(S, S^{-1}, S' and S'^{-1}) Let S be the region bounded by*

$$\partial S = \gamma\tau_0\gamma^{-1}\tau^{-1}.$$

Let S^{-1} be the region bounded by

$$\partial S^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}\tau\gamma\tau_0^{-1}.$$

Let S' be the region bounded by

$$\partial S' = \gamma\tau_0\gamma^{-1}\tau'^{-1}.$$

Let also S'^{-1} be the region bounded by

$$\partial S'^{-1} = \gamma^{-1}\tau_j\gamma\tau_0^{-1}.$$

Lemma 3.11

$$\begin{aligned}
(a)\quad \int_{[\sigma,\tau']}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}} &= \int_{\partial T_0}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}+\\
&+\int_{\sigma_0}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int\int_{S'^{-1}}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\wedge\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}+\\
&+\int\int_{S'^{-1}}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\wedge\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\int_{\sigma_0^{-1}}\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}};\\
(b)\quad \int_{[\sigma,\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}} &= \int_{\partial T_0}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}+\\
&+\int_{\sigma_0}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int\int_{S^{-1}}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\wedge\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}+\\
&+\int\int_{S^{-1}}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\wedge\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\int_{\sigma_0^{-1}}\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the domain

$$S\cup\gamma S^{-1}\gamma^{-1}.$$

Note that S and $\gamma S^{-1}\gamma^{-1}$ parameterize the same domain but with opposite orientations. Then

$$\int_{S\cup\tilde{\gamma}_{jl}S^{-1}\tilde{\gamma}_{jl}^{-1}}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\left(\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\wedge\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\right)=0.$$

and

$$\int_{S\cup\gamma S^{-1}\gamma^{-1}}\left(\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\wedge\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\right)\circ\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}=0.$$

From Lemma 1.6 for composition of domains of 2-dimensional iterated integrals for the domain T, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int\int_T \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \circ \left(\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} \wedge \frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\right) &= \int\int_S \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \circ \left(\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} \wedge \frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\right) + \int\int_{S^{-1}} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \circ \left(\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} \wedge \frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\right) + \\ &+ \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_{jl}} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \int\int_{S^{-1}} \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} \wedge \frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}} + \\ &+ \int\int_{T_0} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \circ \left(\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} \wedge \frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\right) + \int_{\gamma} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \int\int_{T_0} \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} \wedge \frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}} + \\ &+ \int_{\gamma} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \int\int_{S^{-1}} \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} \wedge \frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\end{aligned}$$

Using the above relation for the domain $S \cup \gamma S^{-1}\gamma^{-1}$, we obtain part (b) of the Lemma. Part (a) can be done in a similar way, when we write S' instead of S and τ' instead of τ. Denote by $S'^{-1} - S^{-1}$ the region bounded by $\tau_j\tau^{-1}$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.12

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{[\sigma,\tau']} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \circ \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} \circ \frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}} - \int_{[\sigma,\tau]} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \circ \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} \circ \frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}} = \\ &= \int_{\sigma_0} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \int\int_{S'^{-1}-S^{-1}} \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} \wedge \frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}} + \\ &+ \int\int_{S'^{-1}-S^{-1}} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \wedge \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} \int_{\sigma_0^{-1}} \frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}.\end{aligned}$$

For the region $S'^{-1} - S^{-1}$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.13

$$\int\int_{S'^{-1}-S^{-1}} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \wedge \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} = \int_{\tau'\tau^{-1}} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \circ \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}.$$

Proof. It follows from non-commutative Stokes theorem for iterated integrals (Theorem 1.5).

Proof. (of Proposition 3.8) In order to prove the proposition it remains to show that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \int_{\tau'\tau^{-1}} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \circ \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} = 0.$$

Afterwards, we can use the above three lemmas.

If $i_1 \neq 0$ or $i_2 \neq 0$ then the above integral becomes 0 in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, If $i_1 = i_2 = 0$ then $\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \wedge \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} = 0$. And by Lemma 3.6, we have that

$$\int_{\tau'\tau^{-1}} \frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} \circ \frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} = 0.$$

Denote by

$$\omega_k = \frac{df_k}{f_k}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Using Stokes formula for 1- and 2-dimensional iterated integrals (Theorem 1.5), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.14

$$\int_{\partial T'} \omega_1 \circ \omega_2 \circ \omega_3 = \int\int_{T'} \omega_1 \circ (\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) + \int\int_{T_{jl}} (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \circ \omega_3.$$

Using Lemma 1.6 for composition of domains of 2-dimensional iterated integrals, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.15

$$(a)\int\int_{T'} \omega_1 \circ (\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) = \int\int_{T_0} \omega_1 \circ (\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) + \int_{\gamma_0} \omega_1 \int\int_{T_0} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 + \int_{\sigma_0} \omega_1 \int\int_{S'^{-1}} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3$$

$$(b)\int\int_{T'} (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \circ \omega_3 = \int\int_{T_0} (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \circ \omega_3 + \int\int_{T_0} \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \int_{\gamma^{-1}} \omega_3 + \int\int_{S'^{-1}} \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \int_{\sigma_0^{-1}} \omega_3$$

Proof. It is the same as the proof of Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.16

$$\begin{aligned}(a)\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int\int_{T'} \omega_1 \circ (\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) = \quad & -(m_1+n_1)(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2)\pi i + \\ & -(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2)\int_{\gamma_0} \frac{dg_1}{g_1} + \\ & -m_3n_1 \int_{\gamma_0} \frac{dg_2}{g_2} - \\ & +m_2n_1 \int_{\gamma_0} \frac{dg_3}{g_3} + O(\epsilon); \\ (b)\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int\int_{T'} (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \circ \omega_3 = \quad & (m_3+n_3)(m_1n_2 - m_2n_1)\pi i + \\ & -(m_1n_2 - m_2n_1)\int_{\gamma_0} \frac{dg_3}{g_3} - \\ & +m_1n_3 \int_{\gamma_0} \frac{dg_2}{g_2} + \\ & -m_2n_3 \int_{\gamma_0} \frac{dg_1}{g_1} + O(\epsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For part (a) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int\int_{T_0} \omega_1 \circ (\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) = \quad & +\int\int_{T_0} \omega_1 \circ (\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) + \\ & +\int_{\gamma} \omega_1 \int\int_{T_0} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 + \int_{\sigma} \omega_1 \int\int_{S'^{-1}} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.3 part(b), we have

$$\int\int_{T_0} \omega_1 \circ (\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) = -\frac{1}{2}(2\pi i)^3 (m_1+n_1)(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2).$$

This takes care of the first summand in this lemma. Consider the functions f_k and g_k in terms of the local coordinates x and y near the point P_{jl}. Using Lemma 4.3 part (a), we obtain

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega_1 \int\int_{T_0} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 = -(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2)(2\pi i)^2 \int_{\gamma} \frac{df_1}{f_1}.$$

When we express f_1 in term of g_1 and powers of x and y, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\textstyle\int_\gamma \omega_1 \int\int_{T_0} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 &= -(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2)\textstyle\int_\gamma \frac{d(x^{m_1}y^{n_1}g_1)}{x^{m_1}y^{n_1}g_1} = \\ &= -(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2)\left(n_1 \textstyle\int_\gamma \frac{dy}{y} + \int_\gamma \frac{dg_1}{g_1}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now we have to compute

$$\int_\sigma \omega_1 \int\int_{S'^{-1}} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3.$$

We have

$$\int_\sigma \omega_1 = m_1(2\pi i).$$

We can simplify the double integral by using that $S'^{-1} = \gamma^{-1} \times \tau'$. Also, we can express f_k as $x^{m_k}y^{n_k}g_k$ and then use that under the integral we must have dx/x, since we integrate the variable x over the loop τ_0. For the differential 2-form under the integral, we have

$$\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 = \left(m_2\frac{dx}{x} + n_2\frac{dy}{y} + \frac{dg_2}{g_2}\right) \wedge \left(m_3\frac{dx}{x} + n_3\frac{dy}{y} + \frac{dg_3}{g_3}\right).$$

When we pick only the terms that involve dx/x, we obtain the 2-form

$$(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2)\frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dy}{y} + m_2\frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dg_3}{g_3} - m_3\frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dg_2}{g_2}.$$

The term $-(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2)\frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dy}{y}$ gives

$$\int\int_{S'^{-1}} -(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2)\frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dy}{y} = -(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2)(2\pi i)\int_{\gamma_0^{-1}} \frac{dy}{y}.$$

This term cancels with the term

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} -(m_2n_3 - m_3n_2)n_1 \int_\gamma \frac{dy}{y},$$

coming from

$$\int_\gamma \omega_1 \int\int_{T_0} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3.$$

The 2-form

$$m_2\frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dg_3}{g_3}$$

gives

$$\int\int_{S'^{-1}} m_2\frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dg_3}{g_3} = -m_2(2\pi i)\int_{\gamma_0^{-1}} \frac{dg_3}{g_3}.$$

Finally, the 2-form

$$m_3\frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dg_2}{g_2}$$

gives

$$\int\int_{S'^{-1}} m_3\frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dg_2}{g_2} = m_3(2\pi i)\int_{\gamma_0^{-1}} \frac{dg_2}{g_2}.$$

This proves part (a) of the lemma.

Part (b) can be proven in the same way.

3.3 Differential equation

We will define a partial differential equation, which has no solution locally, but has a solution only over a fixed path, when it is reduced to an ordinary differential equation. Let A_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ be indeterminant constants which do not commute. One can think of them as constant square matrices. Let h_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ be rational functions on X. Consider the differential equation

$$dF = F \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \frac{dh_i}{h_i},$$

where the multiplication is matrix multiplication (or multiplication of indeterminant constants). When this partial differential equation is restricted to a path, we obtain a solution, given by a generating series of iterated integrals.

From Lemma 2.6 it seems that the differential $\frac{df_k}{f_k}$ should anti-commute. Thus, our first attempt to relate a differential equation to a logarithmic version of the Parshin symbol is the following

$$dF = F \sum_{k=1}^{3} A_k \frac{df_k}{f_k},$$

where the indeterminant A_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$ anti-commute.

Why this attempt is not good? The factor x_1 from f_1 and x_1 from f_2 lead to summands $\frac{dx_1}{x_1}$ in $\frac{df_1}{f_1}$ and $\frac{dx_1}{x_1}$ in $\frac{df_2}{f_2}$. These two copies of $\frac{dx_1}{x_1}$ commute, when iterated. However, because the constants A_1 and A_2 anti-commute in the above differential equation, we obtain that the two copies of $\frac{dx_1}{x_1}$ - one coming from f_1 and the other from f_2 - should anti-commute. Thus, the both commute and anti-commute, when we use the above differential equation.

Instead of considering the functions f_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$, we must decompose the three functions into factors. We want these factors to enter in the choice of local coordinates. (Later we will show independence of the choices of local coordinates.) Also, we want similar factors coming from different f_k's to commute and different factors coming from different functions f_k to anti-commute. In order to do that we must distinguish between similar factors, coming from different functions f_k's.

Definition 3.17 *(factorization of the functions f_k for $k = 1, 2, 3$.) We are going to use the definitions of x_i and the corresponding powers n_{ki} for $i = 1, \dots, N$ from Definition 2.1. Define x_{N+k} for $k = 1, 2, 3$ by*

$$x_{N+k} = f_k \prod_{i=1}^{N} x_i^{-m_{ki}}.$$

Define also the constants $m_{N+l,k}$ for $k, l = 1, 2, 3$ by

$$m_{N+l,k} = \delta_{kl},$$

where δ_{kl} is the Kroneker delta function. We are going to use the factorizations

$$f_k = \prod_{i=1}^{N+3} x_i^{m_{ki}}.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{df_k}{f_k} = \sum_{i=1}^{N+3} m_{ki} \frac{dx_i}{x_i}.$$

Definition 3.18 *Consider the differential equation*

$$dF = F \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N+3} A_{ki} m_{ki} \frac{dx_i}{x_i}.$$

We are going to use solutions of this differential equation along a path γ, which we will denote by

$$F_\gamma.$$

Definition 3.19 *(Variable A for the purpose of the new symbol) We set an equivalence among certain monomials in the variables A_{ki}. Let*

$$A \sim A_{1,j_1} A_{2,j_2} A_{3,j_3}$$

for all values of j_1, j_2 and j_3.

Definition 3.20 *(Variable B for the purpose of the logarithm of the Parshin symbol) Let σ be a permutation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Define an equivalence*

$$B \sim A_{1,j_1} A_{2,j_2} A_{3,j_3} \sim A_{\sigma(1),j_1} A_{\sigma(3),j_2} A_{\sigma(3),j_3}.$$

For $j_1 \neq j_2 \neq j_3 \neq j_1$, let

$$A_{1,j_{\sigma(1)}} A_{2,j_{\sigma(2)}} A_{3,j_{\sigma(3)}} \sim sign(\sigma) A_{1,j_1} A_{2,j_2} A_{3,j_3}.$$

For $j_1 = j_2 \neq j_3$ and for a permutation σ of $\{1, 2\}$, define the equivalence

$$A_{1,j_{\sigma(1)}} A_{2,j_{\sigma(1)}} A_{3,j_{\sigma(2)}} \sim sign(\sigma) A_{1,j_1} A_{2,j_1} A_{3,j_2}.$$

For $j_1 = 0$ and $j_2 \neq 0$ define

$$B \sim A_{1,j_1} A_{2,j_1} A_{3,j_2}.$$

3.4 The commutators $[\alpha_i, \beta_i]$

In order to prove a reciprocity law for the logarithm of the new symbol $Log[f_1, f_2, f_3]^{x_0,\gamma_i}_{C_0,P_i}$, we have to consider the loops on C_0, given by the commutator $[\alpha_j, \beta_j]$.

Definition 3.21 *Define a torus*

$$T'_j = h(u, [\alpha_j, \beta_j]),$$

for $|u| = \epsilon_1$.

Lemma 3.22 *Let α be any loop on X and let f be a rational function on X. Then*

$$\int_\alpha \frac{df}{f} = 2\pi i n$$

for some integer n.

Proof. Consider f as a function from the variety X to $\mathbb{C}P^1 - \{0, \infty\}$. On $\mathbb{C}P^1 - \{0, \infty\}$ we have the differential form dz/z. Then

$$\int_\alpha \frac{df}{f} = \int_\alpha f^* \frac{dz}{z} = \int_{f_* \alpha} \frac{dz}{z} = 2\pi i n$$

for some integer n.

We are going to use the following lemma for commutators.

Lemma 3.23 *(a)*$\int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \omega = 0$;

(b) $\int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \omega_1 \circ \omega_2 = \int_\alpha \omega_1 \int_\beta \omega_2 - \int_\beta \omega_1 \int_\alpha \omega_2$;

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{(c)}\ \int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \omega_1 \circ \omega_2 \circ \omega_3 \quad = \quad & \int_\alpha \omega_1 \circ \omega_2 \int_\beta \omega_3 - \int_\beta \omega_1 \circ \omega_2 \int_\alpha \omega_3 + \\ & + \int_\alpha \omega_3 \circ \omega_2 \int_\beta \omega_1 - \int_\beta \omega_3 \circ \omega_2 \int_\alpha \omega_1 - \\ & - \int_\alpha \omega_1 \int_\beta \omega_2 \int_\alpha \omega_3 + \int_\beta \omega_1 \int_\alpha \omega_2 \int_\beta \omega_3. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Part (a) is trivial. Part (b) is Theorem 3.1 in [H]. Part (c) is Theorem 4. in [H].

Proposition 3.24 *The 2-dimensional iterated integrals*

$$\int \int_{\partial T_j'} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3}$$

is an integer times $(2\pi i)^3$.

Proof. Let σ be the loop in the fiber of the torus T_j'. First we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.25

$$(2\pi i)^{-3} \int_{[[\alpha,\beta],\sigma]} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3}$$

is an integer.

Proof. Using part (c) of the Lemma 5.7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[[\alpha,\beta],\tau]} \tfrac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \tfrac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \tfrac{df_3}{f_3} \quad = \quad & \int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \tfrac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \tfrac{df_2}{f_2} \int_\tau \tfrac{df_3}{f_3} - \int_\tau \tfrac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \tfrac{df_2}{f_2} \int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \tfrac{df_3}{f_3} + \\ & + \int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \tfrac{df_3}{f_3} \circ \tfrac{df_2}{f_2} \int_\tau \tfrac{df_1}{f_1} - \int_\tau \tfrac{df_3}{f_3} \circ \tfrac{df_2}{f_2} \int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \tfrac{df_1}{f_1} - \\ & - \int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \tfrac{df_1}{f_1} \int_\tau \tfrac{df_2}{f_2} \int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \tfrac{df_3}{f_3} + \int_\tau \tfrac{df_1}{f_1} \int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \tfrac{df_2}{f_2} \int_\tau \tfrac{df_3}{f_3}. \end{aligned}$$

From part (a) of Lemma 5.7, we have that an integral of a 1-form over a commutator is zero. Therefore,

$$\int_{[[\alpha,\beta],\tau]} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \circ \frac{df_3}{f_3} = \int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \frac{df_1}{f_1} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \int_\tau \frac{df_3}{f_3} + \int_{[\alpha,\beta]} \frac{df_3}{f_3} \circ \frac{df_2}{f_2} \int_\tau \frac{df_1}{f_1}.$$

Now we use part (b) of Lemma 5.7. And we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{[[\alpha,\beta],\tau]} \tfrac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\tfrac{df_2}{f_2}\circ\tfrac{df_3}{f_3} &= \left(\int_\alpha \tfrac{df_1}{f_1}\int_\beta \tfrac{df_2}{f_2} - \int_\beta \tfrac{df_1}{f_1}\int_\alpha \tfrac{df_2}{f_2}\right)\int_\tau \tfrac{df_3}{f_3} + \\ &\quad + \left(\int_\alpha \tfrac{df_3}{f_3}\int_\beta \tfrac{df_2}{f_2} - \int_\beta \tfrac{df_3}{f_3}\int_\alpha \tfrac{df_2}{f_2}\right)\int_\tau \tfrac{df_1}{f_1}\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.6, all of the integrals of 1-forms are integer multiples of $2\pi i$. This proves the lemma.

Proof. (of Proposition 5.15) The boundary of the torus T' is $[\sigma[\alpha,\beta]]$. By the above lemma the integral is an integer multiple of $(2\pi i)^3$.

Definition 3.26 *(h_k) We have that m_k is the order of the function f_k along the curve C_0. Also we have that x is a rational function on X that has zero of order 1 along C_0. Define functions h_k by*

$$f_k = x^{m_k} h_k.$$

Corollary 3.27 *For fixed j the contribution from the commutator $[\alpha_j,\beta_j]$ is the iterated integrals*

$$\begin{aligned}\int\int_{\partial T'_j} \tfrac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\tfrac{df_2}{f_2}\circ\tfrac{df_3}{f_3} = &\ 2\pi i m_1 \left(\int_{\alpha_j} \tfrac{dh_3}{h_3}\int_{\beta_j} \tfrac{dh_2}{h_2} - \int_{\beta_j} \tfrac{dh_3}{h_3}\int_{\alpha_j} \tfrac{dh_2}{h_2}\right) + \\ &+ 2\pi i m_3 \left(\int_{\alpha_j} \tfrac{dh_1}{h_1}\int_{\beta_j} \tfrac{dh_2}{h_2} - \int_{\beta_j} \tfrac{dh_1}{h_1}\int_{\alpha_j} \tfrac{dh_2}{h_2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

3.5 Extra terms

The source of the extra terms are the integrals

$$\int_{\pi_i} \frac{df_1}{f_1}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]} \frac{df_2}{f_2}\circ\frac{df_3}{f_3}$$

and

$$\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]} \frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\frac{df_2}{f_2}\int_{\pi_i^{-1}} \frac{df_3}{f_3}$$

from Definition 2.29. Note that their difference is the same as the sum of the coefficients equivalent to A in $F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}} - F_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}$.

In terms of the differential equation, an extra term is the difference between the coefficients of $A_{1i_1}A_{2i_2}A_{3i_3}$ in $F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}}$ and in $F_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}$.

Lemma 3.28 *The difference between the coefficients of $A_{1j_1}A_{2j_2}A_{3j_3}$ in $F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}}$ and in $F_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}$ is*

$$(2\pi i)^2 n_{j_1} n_{2j_2} n_{3j_3}\left(\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{j_1}}{x_{j_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{j_2}}{x_{j_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{j_3}}{x_{j_3}} - \int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{j_3}}{x_{j_3}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{j_1}}{x_{j_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{j_2}}{x_{j_2}}\right).$$

Proof. Cut the loop $\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}$ into 3 loops π_i, $[\mu_{i+1},\tau]$ and π_i^{-1}. Then use Corollary 1.3 for composition of paths.

Recall that L_j is the number of intersection points of C_0 and C_j.

Definition 3.29 *For $k = 1, 2, 3$ consider the orders of vanishing m_k and m_{kj} of x and x_j, respectively. (see Definitions 3.23 and 3.25.) Let*

$$D_1(j) = \begin{vmatrix} m_2 & n_{2j} \\ m_3 & n_{3j} \end{vmatrix}, \ D_2(i) = \begin{vmatrix} m_3 & n_{3j} \\ m_1 & n_{1j} \end{vmatrix}, \ D_3(i) = \begin{vmatrix} m_1 & n_{1j} \\ m_2 & n_{2j} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3.30 *The sum of the coefficients equivalent to A in*

$$\sum_i (F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}} - F_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]})$$

is

$$\sum_{j_1<j_2} (n_{1j_1}D_1(j_2) - n_{3j_1}D_3(j_2))L_{j_1}L_{j_2}. + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j_2=1}^{N} (n_{1j_2}D_1(j_2) - n_{3j_2}D_3(j_2))L_{j_2}(L_{j_2}-1).$$

Proof. Fix j_1, j_2 and j_3. We are going to use the above lemma. We consider the sum

$$\sum_i \int_{\pi_i} \frac{dx_{j_1}}{x_{j_1}} \int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]} \frac{dx_{j_2}}{x_{j_2}} \circ \frac{dx_{j_3}}{x_{j_3}}.$$

The second integral is zero if $j_2 \neq 0$ and $j_3 \neq 0$. Let $j_3 = 0$. Again the second integral is zero if $\mu_{i+1} \neq \sigma_{j_2,l}$ for all $l = 1, \dots, L_{j_2}$. Suppose that $\mu_{i+1} = \sigma_{j_2,l}$. Then

$$\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]} \frac{dx_{j_2}}{x_{j_2}} \circ \frac{dx_0}{x_0} = (2\pi i)^2.$$

Let $l > 1$ so that $\mu_{i+1} = \sigma_{j_2,l}$. Then

$$\pi_i = \pi_{j_2,l-1} = \left(\prod_{j'=1}^{j_2-1}\left(\prod_{l'=1}^{L_{j'}} \tilde{\sigma}_{j'l'}\right)\right)\prod_{l'=1}^{l-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{j_2 l'}.$$

Then we have

$$(2\pi i)^{-1}\int_{\pi_i} \frac{dx_{j_1}}{x_{j_1}} = \begin{cases} L_{j_1} & \text{for } j_1 < j_2 \\ l-1 & \text{for } j_1 = j_2 \\ 0 & \text{for } j_1 > j_2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(2\pi i)^{-3}\sum_{l=2}^{L_{j_2}} \int_{\pi_{j_2,l-1}} \frac{dx_{j_1}}{x_{j_1}} \int_{[\mu_{j_2,l},\tau]} \frac{dx_{j_2}}{x_{j_2}} \circ \frac{dx_0}{x_0}. = \begin{cases} L_{j_1}(L_{j_2}-1) & \text{for } j_1 < j_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}L_{j_2}(L_{j_2}-1) & \text{for } j_1 = j_2 \\ 0 & \text{for } j_1 > j_2. \end{cases}$$

If $l = 1$ in $\mu_{i+1} = \sigma_{j_2,l} = \sigma_{j_2,1}$. Then

$$\pi_i = \pi_{j_2-1,L_{j_2-1}} = \prod_{j'=1}^{j_2-1}\left(\prod_{l'=1}^{L_{j'}} \tilde{\sigma}_{j'l'}\right).$$

The contribution of the extra term for $l = 1$ is

$$(2\pi i)^{-3}\int_{\pi_{j_2,l-1}}\frac{dx_{j_1}}{x_{j_1}}\int_{[\mu_{j_2,l},\tau]}\frac{dx_{j_2}}{x_{j_2}}\circ\frac{dx_0}{x_0} = \begin{cases} L_{j_1} & \text{for } j_1 < j_2 \\ 0 & \text{for } j_1 \geq j_2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$(2\pi i)^{-3}\sum_i\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{j_1}}{x_{j_1}}\int_{[\mu_i,\tau]}\frac{dx_{j_2}}{x_{j_2}}\circ\frac{dx_0}{x_0}. = \begin{cases} L_{j_1}L_{j_2} & \text{for } j_1 < j_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}L_{j_2}(L_{j_2}-1) & \text{for } j_1 = j_2 \\ 0 & \text{for } j_1 > j_2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the coefficient of $A_{1j_1}A_{2j_2}A_{30}$ in

$$\sum_i(F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}$$

is

$$\begin{array}{ll} n_{1j_1}n_{2j_2}m_3L_{j_1}L_{j_2} & \text{for } j_1 < j_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}n_{1j_2}n_{2j_2}m_3L_{j_2}(L_{j_2}-1) & \text{for } j_1 = j_2 \\ 0 & \text{for } j_1 > j_2. \end{array}$$

Similarly, the coefficient of $A_{1j_1}A_{20}A_{3j_2}$ in

$$\sum_i(F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}$$

is

$$\begin{array}{ll} -n_{1j_1}n_{3j_2}m_2L_{j_1}L_{j_2} & \text{for } j_1 < j_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}n_{1j_2}n_{3j_2}m_2L_{j_2}(L_{j_2}-1) & \text{for } j_1 = j_2 \\ 0 & \text{for } j_1 > j_2. \end{array}$$

Therefore, the coefficient of $A_{1j_1}A_{2j_2}A_{30}$ plus the coefficient of $A_{1j_1}A_{20}A_{3j_2}$ in

$$\sum_i(F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}$$

is

$$\begin{array}{ll} n_{1j_1}D_1(j_2)L_{j_1}L_{j_2} & \text{for } j_1 < j_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}n_{1j_2}D_1(j_2)L_{j_2}(L_{j_2}-1) & \text{for } j_1 = j_2 \\ 0 & \text{for } j_1 > j_2. \end{array}$$

Note that the coefficient of $A_{10}A_{2j_2}A_{3j_1}$ in

$$\sum_i(F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}$$

is zero.

Similarly, the coefficient of $A_{10}A_{2j_2}A_{3j_1}$ plus the coefficient of $A_{1j_2}A_{20}A_{3j_1}$ in

$$\sum_i (F_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi^{-1}}$$

is

$$\begin{array}{ll} -n_{3j_1}D_3(j_2)L_{j_1}L_{j_2} & \text{for } j_1 < j_2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}n_{3j_2}D_3(j_2)L_{j_2}(L_{j_2}-1) & \text{for } j_1 = j_2 \\ 0 & \text{for } j_3 > j_2. \end{array}$$

The contribution of all the extra terms is

$$\sum_{j_1<j_2}(n_{1j_1}D_1(j_2) - n_{3j_1}D_3(j_2))L_{j_1}L_{j_2}. + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j_2=1}^{N}(n_{1j_2}D_1(j_2) - n_{3j_2}D_3(j_2))L_{j_2}(L_{j_2}-1)$$

Corollary 3.31 *Each of the extra terms is and integer multiple of* $(2\pi i)^3$.

3.6 New symbol

Definition 3.32 *(Logarithmic version of the new symbol) For* $k = 1, 2, 3$ *define* $m_k = n_{k1}$, $n_k = n_{kj}$ *and* $g_{kj} = x_1^{-m_k}x_j^{-n_k}f_k = \prod_{i\neq 1,j} x_i^{n_{ki}}$

Define a logarithm of the new symbol as

$$Log[f_1, f_2, f_3]^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}} = \tfrac{1}{2}(2\pi i)^3((m_1+n_1)D_1 - (m_3+n_3)D_3) +$$

$$+(D_1 + m_2n_3)\int_{\gamma_{jl}}\frac{dg_{1j}}{g_{1j}} + D_2\int_{\gamma_{jl}}\frac{dg_{2j}}{g_{2j}} + (D_3 - m_2n_1)\int_{\gamma_{jl}}\frac{dg_{3j}}{g_{3j}},$$

where

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} m_2 & n_2 \\ m_3 & n_3 \end{vmatrix}, \ D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} m_3 & n_3 \\ m_1 & n_1 \end{vmatrix}, \ \textit{and } D_3 = \begin{vmatrix} m_1 & n_1 \\ m_2 & n_2 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Using Lemma 3.16 and Lemma 3.14, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.33 *In terms of iterated integrals, the logarithm of the new symbol is*

$$Log[f_1, f_2, f_3]^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}} = \int_{[\sigma_{jl},\tau]}\frac{df_1}{f_1}\circ\frac{df_2}{f_2}\circ\frac{df_3}{f_3}.$$

3.7 Reciprocity law for the logarithm of the new symbol

Theorem 3.34

$$\sum_{P_{jl}} Log[f_1, f_2, f_3]^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}} = (2\pi i)^3(M+N),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M = \quad & \textstyle\sum_{j_1<j_2}(n_{1j_1}D_1(j_2) - n_{3j_1}D_3(j_2))L_{j_1}L_{j_2} \\ & +\tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum_{j_2=1}^{N}(n_{1j_2}D_1(j_2) - n_{3j_2}D_3(j_2))L_{j_2}(L_{j_2}-1), \\ N = \quad & (2\pi i)^{-2}m_1\left(\int_{\alpha_j}\tfrac{dh_3}{h_3}\int_{\beta_j}\tfrac{dh_2}{h_2} - \int_{\beta_j}\tfrac{dh_3}{h_3}\int_{\alpha_j}\tfrac{dh_2}{h_2}\right) + \\ & +(2\pi i)^{-2}m_3\left(\int_{\alpha_j}\tfrac{dh_1}{h_1}\int_{\beta_j}\tfrac{dh_2}{h_2} - \int_{\beta_j}\tfrac{dh_1}{h_1}\int_{\alpha_j}\tfrac{dh_2}{h_2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Form Theorem 2.31, we have that the sum of the Log-symbols is equal to the sum of the extra tems N and the sum of the commutator terms M. The sum of the commutator terms is given by Corollary 3.27. And the sum of the extra terms is given by Theorem 3.30. This proves the theorem.

4 Logarithmic version of the Parshin symbol

4.1 Integration over a torus revisited

We are going to use the differential equation from Definition 3.18 and the equivalence from Definition 3.20.

Proposition 4.1 *The sum of the coefficients of the monomials equivalent to* B *in* $F_{[\sigma_{jl},\tau]}$ *is*

$$-4(m_1m_2n_3 + m_1n_2m_3 + n_1m_2m_3 - n_1n_2m_3 - n_1m_2n_3 - m_1n_2n_3)\frac{(2\pi i)^3}{2}.$$

Proof. Using Definition 3.20 and Lemma 3.16, we have that the term $A_{1,0}A_{2,0}A_{3,j}$ contributes $-m_1m_2n_3\frac{(2\pi i)^3}{2}$. Similarly, the term $A_{1,j}A_{2,j}A_{3,0}$ contributes $+n_1n_2m_3\frac{(2\pi i)^3}{2}$. When we consider all possible permutations of the indexes, we obtain the above proposition.

Remark 4.2 *When we divide the coefficient in the above lemma by* $-4(2\pi i)^2$ *and then exponentiate, we obtain the sign in the Parshin symbol.*

4.2 Logarithmic symbol

Let Q be a base point on C_1. Consider the loop σ_{jl} around the point P_{jl}.

Definition 4.3 *For* $k = 1,2,3$ *define* $m_k = n_{k1}$, $n_k = n_{kj}$ *and* $g_{kj} = \frac{f_k}{x_1^{m_k}x_j^{n_k}} = \prod_{i\neq 1,j} x_i^{n_{ki}}$

Define a logarithm of the Parshin symbol as

$$Log\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_1,P_{jl}} = (2\pi i)^2\left(\pi i K + D_1\int_{\gamma_{jl}}\frac{dg_{1j}}{g_{1j}} + D_2\int_{\gamma_{jl}}\frac{dg_{2j}}{g_{2j}} + D_3\int_{\gamma_{jl}}\frac{dg_{3j}}{g_{3j}}\right),$$

where

$$K_j = m_1m_2n_3 - m_1n_2m_3 + n_1m_2n_3 - n_1n_2m_3 + n_1m_2m_3 - m_1n_2n_3,$$

$$D_1 = \begin{vmatrix} m_2 & n_2 \\ m_3 & n_3 \end{vmatrix},\ D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} m_3 & n_3 \\ m_1 & n_1 \end{vmatrix},\ \textit{and } D_3 = \begin{vmatrix} m_1 & n_1 \\ m_2 & n_2 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Theorem 4.4 *The coefficient of $-\frac{1}{4}B$ for the integral F along the loop, based at P_{jl}, is $Log\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}^Q_{C_1,P_{jl}}$.*

Proof. The portion K_j from the symbol was considered in subsection 4.1. The remaining portion is anti-symmetrization of the indexes of Lemma 3.15. That gives precisely the sum of the coefficients equivalent to $-\frac{1}{4}B$.

Corollary 4.5 *The Parshin symbol of the functions f_1, f_2, f_3 at C_1, P_{jl} is*

$$\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C_1,P_{jl}} = \left(f_1^{D_1} f_2^{D_2} f_3^{D_3}\right)(Q) \cdot exp\left((2\pi i)^{-2}\left(Log\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}_{C_1,P_{jl}}\right)\right).$$

Proof. It follows by direct computation.

4.3 Vanishing of the commutator terms

Definition 4.6 *Let*

$$N_{ijk} = (2\pi i)^{-3} \int_{[[\alpha,\beta],\sigma]} \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \circ \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \circ \frac{dx_k}{x_k}$$

be the integer from the above lemma.

Lemma 4.7 *For $i \neq j \neq k \neq i$ we have*

$$N_{ijk} + N_{jki} + N_{kij} = 0.$$

Proof. It follows by direct computation from the formula for N_{ijk} from Lemma 3.18(c). For $i \neq j$, the coefficient of $A_{1i}A_{2i}A_{3j}$ is $n_{1i}n_{2i}n_{3j}N_{iij}$. Note that

$$A_{1i}A_{2i}A_{3j} \sim -A_{1i}A_{3j}A_{2i}.$$

The coefficient of $A_{1i}A_{2i}A_{3j}$ is $n_{1i}n_{2i}n_{3j}N_{iji}$. And the coefficient of $A_{3j}A_{1i}A_{2i}$ is $n_{1i}n_{2i}n_{3j}N_{jii}$. Note that

$$A_{3j}A_{1i}A_{2i} \sim A_{1i}A_{3j}A_{2i}.$$

Lemma 4.8 *For $i \neq j$, we have*

$$N_{iij} - N_{iji} + N_{jii} = 4\left(\int_\alpha \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} - \int_\beta \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i}\right) \int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i}.$$

Proof. For each of the three summands, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{iij} &= \int_{[[\alpha,\beta],\sigma]} \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \circ \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \circ \frac{dx_j}{x_j} = \\
&= \left(\int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} - \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i}\right) \int_\sigma \frac{dx_j}{x_j} + \\
&\quad + \left(\int_\alpha \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} - \int_\beta \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i}\right) \int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i} = \\
&= \left(\int_\alpha \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} - \int_\beta \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i}\right) \int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-N_{iji} &= -\int_{[[\alpha,\beta],\sigma]} \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \circ \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \circ \frac{dx_i}{x_i} = \\
&= -\left(\int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \int_\beta \frac{dx_j}{x_j} - \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \int_\alpha \frac{dx_j}{x_j}\right) \int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i} - \\
&\quad -\left(\int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \int_\beta \frac{dx_j}{x_j} - \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \int_\alpha \frac{dx_j}{x_j}\right) \int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i} = \\
&= -2\left(\int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \int_\beta \frac{dx_j}{x_j} - \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \int_\alpha \frac{dx_j}{x_j}\right) \int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \\
N_{jii} &= \int_{[[\alpha,\beta],\sigma]} \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \circ \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \circ \frac{dx_j}{x_j} = \\
&= \left(\int_\alpha \frac{dx_{ij}}{x_j} \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_{i_1}} - \int_\beta \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i}\right) \int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i} = \\
&\quad +\left(\int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} - \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i}\right) \int_\sigma \frac{dx_j}{x_j} + \\
&= \left(\int_\alpha \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} - \int_\beta \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i}\right) \int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
N_{iij} - N_{iji} + N_{jii} = 4 \int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \left(\int_\alpha \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_\beta \frac{dx_i}{x_i} - \int_\beta \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_\alpha \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \right).
$$

Obviously, when three of the indexes coincide then $N_{iii} = 0$.

Proposition 4.9 *The sum of the coefficients equivalent to B in $F_{[[\alpha_i^u,\beta_i^u]\tau]}$ is zero.*

Proof. From Lemma 3.18, we obtain that the only contributions to the coefficient of B come from $A_{1i}A_{2j}A_{3k}$, when only two of the indexes i, j, k coincide. From Lemma 3.19, it follows that the contributions are integer combinations of integrals of the type

$$
\int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \left(\int_{\alpha_i^u} \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_{\beta_i^u} \frac{dx_i}{x_i} - \int_{\beta_i^u} \frac{dx_j}{x_j} \int_{\alpha_i^u} \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \right).
$$

The integral

$$
\int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i}
$$

is not zero only when $i = 1$. However, for $i = 1$ the variable x_1 becomes the constant u along the loops α_i^u and β_i^u, because α_i^u and β_i^u are defined on the curve

$$
Tb^u = \{Y \in Tb | x_1(Y) = u\}.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{\alpha_i^u} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} = 0
$$

and

$$
\int_{\beta_i^u} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} = 0.
$$

Thus,

$$\int_\sigma \frac{dx_i}{x_i}\left(\int_{\alpha_i^u}\frac{dx_j}{x_j}\int_{\beta_i^u}\frac{dx_i}{x_i}-\int_{\beta_i^u}\frac{dx_j}{x_j}\int_{\alpha_i^u}\frac{dx_i}{x_i}\right)=0.$$

4.4 Vanishing of the extra terms

The sum of the extra terms is the same as the coefficients equivalent to B in $F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}} - F_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}$.

Proposition 4.10 *The coefficient of* $\frac{1}{4}B$ *in*

$$\sum_i F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]} + F_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}}$$

is zero.

We need two lemmas in order to compute the coefficient of $A_{1i_1}A_{2i_2}A_{3i_3}$

Lemma 4.11 *The coefficient of* $A_{1i_1}A_{2i_2}A_{3i_3}$ *in* $F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}}$ *is*

$$(2\pi i)^2 n_{i_1}n_{2i_2}n_{3i_3}\left(\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}-\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\right).$$

Proof. Cut the loop $\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}$ into 3 loops π_i, $[\mu_{i+1},\tau]$ and π_i^{-1}. Then use Corollary 1.3 for composition of paths.

Lemma 4.12 *We have the following relation*

$$\text{(a)}\ \int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}=-\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}.$$

$$\text{(b)}\ \int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}=0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.18 part (b), we have that

$$\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}=\int_{\mu_{i+1}}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_\tau\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}-\int_\tau\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{\mu_{i+1}}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}.$$

The right hand side is anti-symmetric on the indexes i_1 and i_2. This proves part (a). For part (b), use the above equality when $i_1 = i_2$. *Proof.* (Proposition 4.7) Let $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq i_3 \neq i_1$. By Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9, we have that the coefficient of $A_{3i_3}A_{2i_2}A_{1i_1}$ along the path $\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}$ is

$$(2\pi i)^2 n_{1i_1}n_{2i_2}n_{3i_3}\left(\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}-\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\right)=$$

$$(2\pi i)^2 n_{1i_1}n_{2i_2}n_{3i_3}\left(-\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}+\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\right)=$$

$$(2\pi i)^2 n_{1i_1}n_{2i_2}n_{3i_3}\left(+\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}-\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_3}}{x_{i_3}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\right).$$

Note that this is the same as the coefficient of $A_{1i_1}A_{2i_2}A_{3i_3}$. However, $A_{3i_3}A_{2i_2}A_{1i_1} \sim -A_{1i_1}A_{2i_2}A_{3i_3}$. Therefore the two coefficients cancel in the equivalence.

By Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9(b), when three of the indexes coincide, that is $i_1 = i_2 = i_3$, the corresponding of the extra terms are zero.

It remain to examine what happens when two of the indexes coincide. Compare the coefficients of $A_{1i_1}A_{2i_1}A_{3i_2}$, $A_{1i_1}A_{3i_2}A_{2i_1}$ and $A_{3i_2}A_{1i_1}A_{2i_1}$. By Lemma 4.8, the coefficient of $A_{1i_1}A_{2i_1}A_{3i_2}$ in $F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}}$ is

$$(2\pi i)^2 n_{1i_1}n_{2i_1}n_{3i_2}\left(\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}} - \int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\right) =$$

$$(2\pi i)^2 n_{1i_1}n_{2i_1}n_{3i_2}\left(\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\right).$$

The coefficient of $A_{1i_1}A_{3i_2}A_{2i_1}$ in $F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}}$ is

$$(2\pi i)^2 n_{1i_1}n_{2i_1}n_{3i_2}\left(\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} - \int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\right) =$$

$$-2(2\pi i)^2 n_{1i_1}n_{2i_1}n_{3i_2}\left(\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\right).$$

And finally, the coefficient of $A_{3i_2}A_{1i_1}A_{2i_1}$ in $F_{\pi_i[\mu_{i+1},\tau]\pi_i^{-1}}$ is

$$(2\pi i)^2 n_{1i_1}n_{2i_1}n_{3i_2}\left(\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}} - \int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\right) =$$

$$(2\pi i)^2 n_{1i_1}n_{2i_1}n_{3i_2}\left(-\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\right) =$$

$$(2\pi i)^2 n_{1i_1}n_{2i_1}n_{3i_2}\left(\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_{i_1}}{x_{i_1}}\circ\frac{dx_{i_2}}{x_{i_2}}\right).$$

The only case when the above integrals are not zero is when $i_2 = 0$. Set $i_1 = j$. Note that

$$\int_{[\mu_{i+1},\tau]}\frac{dx_j}{x_j}\circ\frac{dx_0}{x_0} = (2\pi i)^2$$

for $\mu_{i+1} = \tilde{\sigma}_{jl}$. Then $\int_{\pi_i}\frac{dx_j}{x_j} = 2\pi il$.

However, the sum of the extra terms coming from $A_{1i_1}A_{2i_1}A_{3i_2}$, $A_{1i_1}A_{2i_2}A_{3i_1}$ and $A_{1i_2}A_{2i_1}A_{3i_1}$ is zero. Thus, there is no contribution from the extra terms in the logarithm of the Parshin symbol.

4.5 Reciprocity law

Theorem 4.13 *For the logarithm of the Parshin symbol we have the following reciprocity*

$$\sum_{j,l} Log\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_1,P_{jl}} = 0.$$

Proof. Form Theorem 2.31, we have that the sum of the Log-symbols applied to an iteration

$$\frac{dx_i}{x_i}\circ\frac{dx_j}{x_j}\circ\frac{dx_k}{x_k}$$

is equal to the sum of the extra terms and the sum of the commutator terms. We consider the sum of the coefficients equivalent to $-1/4B$. The sum of the commutator terms is zero by Proposition 4.9. And the sum of the extra terms is zero by Proposition 4.10. This proves the theorem.

5 Refinement of the Parshin symbol

5.1 Logarithmic version of a refinement of the Parshin symbol

Definition 5.1 *Define a logarithm of the refinement of the Parshin symbol as the difference*

$$Log(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}} = Log[f_1,f_2,f_3]^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}} - Log\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}}.$$

Theorem 5.2

$$Log(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}} = (2\pi i)^2\left(\pi i(m_2n_1n_3 - n_2m_1m_3) + m_2n_3\int_{\gamma_{jl}}\frac{dg_{1j}}{g_{1j}} - m_2n_1\int_{\gamma_{jl}}\frac{dg_{3j}}{g_{3j}},\right)$$

Proof. It follows directly from Definitions 3.28 and 4.3. As a direct consequence we obtain a refinement of the logarithm of the Parshin symbol.

Corollary 5.3

$$Log(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}} + Log(f_2,f_3,f_1)^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}} + Log(f_3,f_1,f_2)^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}} = Log\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}}.$$

5.2 Logarithmic reciprocity law

Theorem 5.4 *We have the following reciprocity law*

$$\sum_{jl} Log(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}} = (2\pi i)^3(M+N),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M = \quad & (2\pi i)^3\textstyle\sum_{j_1<j_2}(n_{1j_1}D_1(j_2) - n_{3j_1}D_3(j_2))L_{j_1}L_{j_2} \\ & +(2\pi i)^3\textstyle\sum_{j_2=1}^{N}(n_{1j_2}D_1(j_2) - n_{3j_2}D_3(j_2))\frac{1}{2}L_{j_2}(L_{j_2}-1) \\ N = \quad & (2\pi i)^{-2}m_1\left(\textstyle\int_{\alpha_j}\frac{dh_3}{h_3}\int_{\beta_j}\frac{dh_2}{h_2} - \int_{\beta_j}\frac{dh_3}{h_3}\int_{\alpha_j}\frac{dh_2}{h_2}\right)+ \\ & +(2\pi i)^{-2}m_3\left(\textstyle\int_{\alpha_j}\frac{dh_1}{h_1}\int_{\beta_j}\frac{dh_2}{h_2} - \int_{\beta_j}\frac{dh_1}{h_1}\int_{\alpha_j}\frac{dh_2}{h_2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from the reciprocity laws for $Log[f_1,f_2,f_3]^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}}$ and $Log\{f_1,f_2,f_3\}^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0,P_{jl}}$, stated in Theorems 3.34 and 4.13, respectively.

5.3 Refinement of the Parshin symbol and a reciprocity law

Definition 5.5 *Define a refinement of the Parshin symbol as*

$$(f_1, f_2, f_3)^{x_0}_{C_0, P_{jl}} = \frac{g_{1j}(Q)^{m_2 n_3}}{g_{3j}(Q)^{m_2 n_1}} exp\left((2\pi i)^{-2} Log(f_1, f_2, f_3)^{\gamma_{jl}}_{C_0, P_{jl}}\right).$$

Theorem 5.6 *Define a refinement of the Parshin symbol as*

$$(f_1, f_2, f_3)^{x_0}_{C_0, P_{jl}} = (-1)^{m_2 n_1 n_3 - n_2 m_1 m_3} \frac{g_{1j}(P_{jl})^{m_2 n_3}}{g_{3j}(P_{jl})^{m_2 n_1}}.$$

Remark 5.7 *For the local coordinates at P_{jl} we use the variables x_0 and x_j. The symbol is invariant of the choices of x_j. However, it is dependent on x_0. Let us explain to what extend the refinement of the Parshin symbol depends on the choice of x_0. The variable x_0 vanishes along the curve C_0. And we use the same x_0 for each of the points P_{jl}, where we compute the symbol. If we have a different choice of x_0 then we obtain a different symbol. But the new choice of x_0 is the same for each point P_{jl}.*

Theorem 5.8 *Using the above definition, we have*

$$\prod_{jl} (f_1, f_2, f_3)^{x_0}_{C_0, P_{jl}} = 1.$$

Proof. It follows by exponentiating the reciprocity law from Theorem 4.5.

5.4 Example

Let $X = \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ with projective coordinates $(x_0 : x_1) \times (y_0, y_1)$. For $n = 1, 2, 3$ let

$$f_n = (x_1 - a x_0)^{i_n} (x_1 - b x_0)^{j_n} (x_1 - c x_0)^{k_n} \left(\frac{y_1}{y_0}\right)^{l_n},$$

where $i_n + j_n + k_n = 0$ for $n = 1, 2, 3$. Let C be the variety $y_1 = 0$. Consider the functions f_n in affine coordinate system by setting $x_0 = 1$ $y_0 = 1$. Let

$$f_n = (x_1 - a)^{i_n} (x_1 - b)^{j_n} (x_1 - c)^{k_n} y_1^{l_n}.$$

The points which will have non-zero symbol are $P_a = (a, 0)$, $P_b = (b, 0)$ and $P_c = (c, 0)$. Let the base point Q be with coordinates $Q = (0, 0)$.

First we compute the symbol $(f_1, f_2, f_3)^{y_1}_{C, P_a}$. Let

$$z = z(x_1) = \frac{x_1 - a}{x_1 - b}.$$

Then

$$x_1 = \frac{bz - a}{z - 1}.$$

Also

$$x_1 - b = \frac{b - a}{z - 1}$$

and

$$x_1 - c = \frac{(b-c)z + c - a}{z-1}.$$

Then

$$f_n(z, y_1) = z^{i_n} y_1^{l_n} \left(\frac{b-a}{z-1}\right)^{i_n+j_n} \left(\frac{(b-c)z+c-a}{z-1}\right)^{k_n}.$$

Then at P_a, we have

$$g_n(z, y_1) = \left(\frac{b-a}{z-1}\right)^{i_n+j_n} \left(\frac{(b-c)z+c-a}{z-1}\right)^{k_n}.$$

Using that $i_n + j_n + k_n = 0$, we obtain

$$g_n(z, y_1) = \left(\frac{b-a}{z-1}\right)^{-k_n} \left(\frac{(b-c)z+c-a}{z-1}\right)^{k_n}.$$

At the point P_a, which is with coordinates $(z, y_1) = (0, 0)$, we have

$$g_n(P_a) = \left(\frac{a-c}{a-b}\right)^{k_n}.$$

Therefore,

$$g_3(P_a)^{i_1 l_2} g_1(P_a)^{-i_3 l_2} = \left(\frac{a-b}{a-c}\right)^{l_2 \begin{vmatrix} i_1 & k_1 \\ i_3 & k_3 \end{vmatrix}}$$

For the sign of the symbol, we have $(-1)^{i_1 i_3 l_2 - l_1 l_3 i_2}$. Finally, the symbol at P_a is

$$(f_1, f_2, f_3)^{y_1}_{C,P_a} = (-1)^{i_1 i_3 l_2 - l_1 l_3 i_2} \left(\frac{a-b}{a-c}\right)^{l_2 \begin{vmatrix} i_1 & k_1 \\ i_3 & k_3 \end{vmatrix}}.$$

For the symbol at the points P_b and P_c, we have to permute cyclicly a, b, c and use the same cyclic permutation for the powers i_n, j_n, k_n. We obtain

$$(f_1, f_2, f_3)^{y_1}_{C,P_b} = (-1)^{j_1 j_3 l_2 - l_1 l_3 j_2} \left(\frac{b-c}{b-a}\right)^{l_2 \begin{vmatrix} j_1 & i_1 \\ j_3 & i_3 \end{vmatrix}}$$

and

$$(f_1, f_2, f_3)^{y_1}_{C,P_c} = (-1)^{k_1 k_3 l_2 - l_1 l_3 k_2} \left(\frac{c-a}{c-b}\right)^{l_2 \begin{vmatrix} k_1 & j_1 \\ k_3 & j_3 \end{vmatrix}}.$$

Let

$$A = \frac{a-b}{a-c}$$

and

$$B = \frac{b-c}{b-a},$$

which enter in the first two symbols. Then for the fraction in the last symbol, we have

$$\frac{c-a}{c-b} = -(AB)^{-1}.$$

The product of the three symbols is

$$(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{y_1}_{C,P_a}(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{y_1}_{C,P_b}(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{y_1}_{C,P_c} =$$

$$= (-1)^{(i_1i_3+j_1j_3+k_1k_3)l_2-(i_2+j_2+k_2)l_1l_3} A^{l_2\begin{vmatrix} i_1 & k_1 \\ i_3 & k_3 \end{vmatrix}} B^{l_2\begin{vmatrix} j_1 & i_1 \\ j_3 & i_3 \end{vmatrix}} (-AB)^{-l_2\begin{vmatrix} k_1 & j_1 \\ k_3 & j_3 \end{vmatrix}}.$$

Combining the power of A and using that $i_n + j_n + k_n = 0$, we obtain

$$l_2\begin{vmatrix} i_1 & k_1 \\ i_3 & k_3 \end{vmatrix} - l_2\begin{vmatrix} k_1 & j_1 \\ k_3 & j_3 \end{vmatrix} = l_2\begin{vmatrix} -j_1-k_1 & k_1 \\ -j_3-k_3 & k_3 \end{vmatrix} - l_2\begin{vmatrix} k_1 & j_1 \\ k_3 & j_3 \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$= l_2\begin{vmatrix} -j_1 & k_1 \\ -j_3 & k_3 \end{vmatrix} - l_2\begin{vmatrix} k_1 & j_1 \\ k_3 & j_3 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Similarly, the powers of B cancel. For the sign we have

$$(-1)^{(i_1i_3+j_1j_3+k_1k_3)l_2-(i_2+j_2+k_2)l_1l_3}(-1)^{-l_2\begin{vmatrix} k_1 & j_1 \\ k_3 & j_3 \end{vmatrix}}$$

For the power of (-1) modulo 2, we have

$$(i_1i_3+j_1j_3+k_1k_3)l_2 - (i_2+j_2+k_2)l_1l_3 + l_2(k_1j_3+j_1k_3) =$$

$$l_2(i_1i_3 + (j_1+k_1)(j_3+k_3)) - (i_2+j_2+k_2)l_1l_3$$

$$l_2(i_1i_3 + (-i_1)(-i_3)) + 0\cdot l_1l_3 =$$

$$= 0 \bmod 2$$

Therefore,

$$(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{y_1}_{C,P_a}(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{y_1}_{C,P_b}(f_1,f_2,f_3)^{y_1}_{C,P_c} = 1.$$

References

[BrMc1] Brylinski, J.-L., McLaughlin, D.A., Mulidimensional reciprocity laws, J. reine angew. Math, 481(1996), 125-147.

[BrMc2] Brylinski, J.-L., McLaughlin, D.A., Characteristic classes and mulidimensional reciprocity laws, Math. Res. Let., 3 (1996), 19-30.

[Ch] Chen, K.-T. Iterated path integrals, Bull. AMS, 83(1977),831-879.

[D] Deligne, P.: Le symbole modéré, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 73 (1991) pp 147-181.

[FV] Fesenko, I., Vostokov, S. On torsion in higher Milnor functors for multidimensional local fields, Amer. Math. Soc Transl. 2, 154 (1992) 25-35.

[G] Goncharov, A. B.: Multiple polylogarithms and mixed Tate motives, math.AG/0103059, 82 pages.

[GH] Griffiths P., Harris, J.: Principles of algebraic geometry, Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978. xii+813 pp.

[H] Horozov, I. Non-abelian reciprocity laws on a Riemann surface, accepted in Int. Math. Res. Notices.

[Kh] Khovanskii, A. Logarithmic functional and recirpocity laws, In book: Toric topology, International Conference May 28-June 3 2006, Osaka City University, Osaka, Japan, Contemporary Mathematics. V. 460, 221-29. Amer. Math. Soc., Providnce, RI, 2008.

[Ka] Kato, K.: Milnor K-theory and the Chow group of zero cycles, Contemp. Math. 55, A.M.S. (1986), 241-253.

[L] Luo, Zh.: Thesis, Brandeis University, 2010.

[Mi] Milnor, J. Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms, Invent. Math. 9, 318-344, (1970).

[M] Manin, Yu. I.: Iterated integrals of modular forms and noncommutative modular symbols, math.NT/0502576, 37 pages.

[P1] Parshin A.N.: Local class field theory, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov, vol. 165, 1984.

[P2] Parshin A.N.: Galois cohomology and Brauer group of local fields, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov, vol. 183, 1984.

[P3] Parshin A.N.: A generalization of the Jacobian variety, Am . Math. Soc. Transl. 84, 187-196 (1969).

[R] Romo F.P.: Algebraic construction of the tame symbol and the Parshin symbol on a surface, Algebra 274 (2004), no 1, 335-346.

[W] Weil, A. Oeuvres Scientifiques I,,p. 291.